

BEFORE THE  
REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS  
CONTRACTORS STATE LICENSE BOARD  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

**MULTITASKR CONSTRUCTION, INC.**  
2756 Catamaran Way  
Chula Vista, CA 91914

**PATRICIO AMAYA-PADILLA, RMO**

**ISMAEL DEL PINO BERMEJO, OFFICER**

**GUILLERMO ROBERTSON, OFFICER**

**JOSE FRAUSTO, UNDISCLOSED OFFICER**

**Contractor's License No. 1074209, B**

Respondents.

CASE NO. N2024-235

**ORDER TO ADOPT  
DEFAULT DECISION**

[Gov. Code, § 11520]

The attached Default Decision is hereby adopted by the Registrar of Contractors as his Decision in the above-entitled matter.

Contractor's License Number **1074209** issued to **MULTITASKR CONSTRUCTION INC** is revoked.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Section 7102 of the Business and Professions Code and Section 870 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, **GUILLERMO ROBERTSON, ISMAEL DEL PINO BERMEJO, PATRICIO AMAYA-PADILLA and JOSE FRAUSTO** shall not apply for reissuance or reinstatement of said license or any new license and/or registration, for five year(s) from the effective date of this decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless **PATRICIO AMAYA-PADILLA AND ISMAEL DEL PINO BERMEJO**, disassociates from **MAIN GROUP CONSTRUCTION INC**, on or before the effective date of this Decision, License Number **1028130** shall be revoked pursuant to and in accordance with Sections 7097 and 7098 of the Contractors' License Law.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on June 16, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED May 16, 2025.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Fogt  
Registrar of Contractors

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **BEFORE THE**  
9 **REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS**  
10 **CONTRACTORS STATE LICENSE BOARD**  
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 In the Matter of the Accusation against:

Case No. N2024-235

14 **MULTITASKR CONSTRUCTION, INC.**  
2756 Catamaran Way  
Chula Vista, CA 91914

**DEFAULT DECISION**

[Gov. Code, § 11520]

15 **PATRICIO AMAYA-PADILLA, RMO**

16 **ISMAEL DEL PINO BERMEJO, OFFICER**

17 **GUILLERMO ROBERTSON, OFFICER**

18 **JOSE FRAUSTO, UNDISCLOSED OFFICER**

19 Contractor License No. 1074209 (B Classif.)

20 Respondents.

21  
22 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

23 1. On or about April 9, 2025, Accusation and Notice of Automatic License Suspension  
24 No. N2024-235 was filed against Multitaskr Construction, Inc., Patricio Amaya-Padilla, RMO;  
25 Ismael Del Pino Bermejo, Officer; Guillermo Robertson, Officer; Jose Frausto, Undisclosed  
26 Officer (Respondents); before the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) for the Contractors' State  
27 License Board (Board). (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)

28 ///

1           2.     On or about March 23, 2021, the Registrar issued Contractor License No. 1074209,  
2     Classification B (General Building Contractor) to Respondents. The Contractor License expired  
3     on March 31, 2025, and has not been renewed. This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to  
4     Business and professions Code section 7106.5, does not deprive the Board of its authority to  
5     institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.<sup>1</sup>

6           3.     On or about April 10, 2025, Respondents were served by Certified and First Class  
7     Mail copies of the Accusation and Notice of Automatic License Suspension No. N2024-235,  
8     Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense (2 copies), Request for Discovery, and Government  
9     Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc.'s  
10    address-of-record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be  
11    reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent Corporation address of record was and is:  
12    2576 Catamaran Way, Chula Vista, CA 91914.

13          4.     Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of  
14    Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and/or Business and Professions Code section  
15    124.

16          5.     On April 21, 2025, all First-Class Mail copies to all Respondents were returned as  
17    undeliverable. On April 21 and 22, 2025, all Certified-Mail copies to all Respondents were  
18    returned as undeliverable.

19          6.     Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c), states, in part:

20                 The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent  
21                 files a notice of defense ... and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all  
22                 parts of the accusation ... not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense  
                  ... shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its  
                  discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

23          7.     The Registrar takes official notice of the Board's records and the fact that the  
24    Secretary of State records reflect that effective February 3, 2025, Respondent Multitaskr  
25    Construction, Inc.'s corporate status is "Suspended – FTB," and that its powers, rights, and

26                 <sup>1</sup> With limited exceptions, a corporation is disqualified from exercising any right, power, or privilege while its  
27                 corporate status is suspended. (Rev. & Tax Code, §§ 23301 & 23301.5; *Cal-Western Services, Inc. v. Corning*  
28                 *Capital Group* (2013) 221 Cal.App.4th 304, 310; *Timberline, Inc. v. Jaisinghani* (1977) 54 Cal.App.4th 361, 1367.)  
                  Accordingly, during the period of suspension, and absent revivor, Respondent's license is invalid due to its lack of  
                  capacity and qualification to engage in licensed conduct.

1 privileges are suspended in California.

2 8. Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a), states, in part:

3 If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense ... or to appear at the  
4 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions  
5 or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to  
6 respondent . . . .

6 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Registrar finds  
7 Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. in default. The Registrar will take action without  
8 further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision  
9 Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the  
10 investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices  
11 regarding the allegations contained in Accusation and Notice of Automatic License Suspension  
12 No. N2024-235, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation and Notice of Automatic  
13 License Suspension No. N2024-235, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by  
14 clear and convincing evidence.

15 10. The Registrar finds that the actual costs for investigation and prosecution are  
16 \$17,738.64 as of April 17, 2025.

17 11. The Registrar finds that the loss to the Poway homeowners caused by the acts or  
18 omissions of Respondent is \$424,953.75.

19 12. The Registrar finds that the loss to the San Marcos homeowners caused by the acts or  
20 omissions of Respondent is \$300,000.00.

21 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

22 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc.;  
23 Patricio Amaya-Padilla, RMO; Ismael Del Pino Bermejo, Officer; Guillermo Robertson, Officer;  
24 Jose Frausto, Officer; has subjected entity Contractor License No. 1074209 to discipline.

25 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

26 3. The Registrar of Contractors is authorized to revoke Respondent's Multitaskr  
27 Construction, Inc.'s contractor license based upon the following violations alleged in the  
28 Accusation and Notice of Automatic License Suspension which are supported by the evidence

1 contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:

2 a. Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc.'s license is subject to automatic  
3 suspension under Section 7076.2, subdivision (a), because it failed to do business as a corporation  
4 in California while registered and in good standing with the Secretary of State. Respondent  
5 Multitaskr Construction, Inc.'s license shall be automatically suspended by operation of law thirty  
6 days from the date of this notice if it does not provide proof satisfactory to the Registrar that it is  
7 properly registered and in good standing with the Secretary of State.

8 b. Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. has subjected its contractor license to  
9 disciplinary action under Code section 7083, in that Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc.  
10 failed to notify the Registrar of changes in personnel of record in writing, within ninety days.

11 c. Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. has subjected its contractor license to  
12 disciplinary action under Code section 7076.2, subdivision (a), and section 7115, in that  
13 Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. was not registered and was not in good standing with  
14 the Secretary of State while doing business as a corporation and was suspended by the Secretary  
15 of State as of February 3, 2025.

16 **Poway Project:**

17 d. Violation of Business and Profession Code 7107, in that Respondent Multitaskr  
18 Construction, Inc. abandoned the Poway project without legal excuse, failing to commence the  
19 project after receiving most or all of the \$424,953.75.

20 e. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7108, in that Respondent  
21 Multitaskr Construction, Inc. diverted or misapplied most or all of \$424,953.75 it received for the  
22 Poway Project from the homeowners.

23 f. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7111.1, in that Respondent  
24 Multitaskr Construction, Inc.'s officers failed to cooperate in the Board's investigation.

25 g. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7116, in that Respondent  
26 Multitaskr Construction, Inc. committed willful and fraudulent acts injuring the Poway Project  
27 homeowners when Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. received most or all of \$424,953.75  
28 from the homeowners but never began their project.

1 h. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7117, subdivision (a), in that  
2 Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. entered into the Poway Project contract under the  
3 namestyle Modern Market Technologies, Inc., dba Multitaskr, a Delaware corporation, when the  
4 contractor license is issued under Multitaskr Construction, Inc.

5 i. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7117, subdivision (b), in that  
6 Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. acted as a contractor for the Poway project with  
7 personnel not associated with the contractor license.

8 j. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7159.5, for failing to comply with  
9 the Home Improvement Contract Requirements by requesting and accepting an excessive down  
10 payment and excessive progress payments from the Poway Project homeowners.

11 k. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7161, subdivision (b), in that  
12 Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. made substantial misrepresentations to the Poway  
13 Project homeowners in the procurement of the project contract.

14 **San Marcos Project:**

15 l. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7107, in that Respondent  
16 Multitaskr Construction, Inc. abandoned the San Marcos Project without legal excuse, and never  
17 commenced the project after receiving \$300,000.00 from the homeowners.

18 m. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7108, in that on the San Marcos  
19 Project, Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. diverted or misapplied \$300,000.00 in funds  
20 received from the homeowners.

21 n. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7111.1, in that Respondent  
22 Multitaskr Construction, Inc.'s officers failed to cooperate in the Board's investigation of the San  
23 Marcos Project.

24 o. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7116, in that on the San Marcos  
25 Project, Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. committed willful and fraudulent acts injuring  
26 the homeowners when Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. received \$300,000.00 from the  
27 homeowners and never began the San Marcos Project.

28 p. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7117, subdivision (a), in that

1 Respondent Multitasker Construction, Inc. entered into the San Marcos Project contract under the  
2 namestyle Modern Market Technologies, Inc., dba Multitaskr, when the contractor license is  
3 issued as Multitaskr Construction, Inc.

4 q. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7117, subdivision (b), in that on  
5 the San Marcos project, Respondent Multitasker Construction, Inc. acted as a contractor with  
6 personnel not listed on the contractor license application or later changed.

7 r. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7159.5, for failing to comply with  
8 the Home Improvement Contract Requirements by requesting and accepting an excessive down  
9 payment and excessive progress payments from the San Marcos Project homeowners.

10 s. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 7161, subdivision (b), in that  
11 Respondent Multitaskr Construction, Inc. made substantial misrepresentations to the San Marcos  
12 Project homeowners in the procurement of the project contract.

13 4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 7122.5, the performance by an  
14 individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association of an act or  
15 omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action constitutes a cause for disciplinary action  
16 against a licensee who at the time that the act or omission occurred was the qualifying individual  
17 of that individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association,  
18 whether or not he or she had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act or omission.  
19 Therefore, pursuant to section 7122.5, Patricio Amaya-Padilla, is subject to discipline regardless  
20 of whether or not he had knowledge of or participated in the act(s) or omissions(s) in Accusation  
21 No. N2024-235.

22 5. Pursuant to its authority under California Government Code section 11520, and based  
23 on the relevant evidence before it contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence  
24 Packet, the Registrar hereby finds by clear and convincing evidence that Ismael Del Pino Bermejo  
25 had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act(s) or omission(s) that warranted the filing  
26 of Accusation No. N2024-235.

27 6. Pursuant to its authority under California Government Code section 11520, and based  
28 on the relevant evidence before it contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence



1 Packet, the Registrar hereby finds by clear and convincing evidence that Guillermo Robertson  
2 had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act(s) or omission(s) that warranted the filing  
3 of Accusation No. N2024-235.

4 7. Pursuant to its authority under California Government Code section 11520, and based  
5 on the relevant evidence before it contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence  
6 Packet, the Registrar hereby finds by clear and convincing evidence that Jose Frausto had  
7 knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act(s) or omission(s) that warranted the filing of  
8 Accusation No. N2024-235.

9  
10   
11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS  
13 CONTRACTORS STATE LICENSE BOARD  
14 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
15

16 85073983.docx  
17 DOJ Matter ID:SD2025800008

18 Attachment:  
19 Exhibit A: Accusation  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28