1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
2	Attorney General of California MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURO A. PAREDES Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 254663 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9439	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF CONTI	
10	CONTRACTORS STATE LIC DEPARTMENT OF CONSUM	
12	STATE OF CALIFO	PRNIA
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. N2022-343
14	ALL SEASONS WINDOWS AND PATIOS, INC.	
15	JAMES RANDALL SCHANTZ, RMO LARRY ROSS HAYS, CEO/PRES 314 South Johnson Avenue	ACCUSATION
16	El Cajon, CA 92020	
17	Contractor's License No. 1011149, B	
18	J R SCHANTZ DBA THE SCREEN MACHINE	
19	314 South Johnson Avenue El Cajon, CA 92020	
20	Contractor's License No. 788012, C61/D52,	
21	C61/D28	
22	JRSCHANTZ CONSTRUCTION 314 South Johnson Avenue El Cajon, CA 92105	
23	Contractor's License No. 1028464, B, C-61/D-03	
2425	JAMES RANDALL SCHANTZ	
26	314 South Johnson Avenue El Cajon, CA 92020	
27	Home Improvement Salesperson Registration No. 112893 SP	
28		
	1	

(ALL SEASONS WINDOWS AND PATIOS, INC.; ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1 2	LARRY ROSS HAYS 314 South Johnson Avenue El Cajon, CA 92020	
3	Home Improvement Salesperson Registration No. 48747 SP	
4	Respondents.	
5		
6 7	PARTIES PARTIES	
8	1. Brian Melvin (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
9	the Supervising Special Investigator I of the Contractors State License Board (Board),	
10	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
11	2. On or about February 11, 2016, the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) issued	
12	Contractor's License Number 1011149 to All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc. with James	
13	Randall Schantz, RMO (Respondent Schantz) and Larry Ross Hays, CEO/PRES (Respondent	
14	Hays). The Contractor's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges	
15	brought herein and will expire on February 29, 2024, unless renewed.	
16	3. On or about November 29, 2000, the Registrar issued Contractor's License Number	
17	788012 to J R Schantz, doing business as The Screen Machine; James Randall Schantz, Sole	
18	Owner. The Contractor's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges	
19	brought herein, and will expire on November 30, 2024, unless renewed.	
20	4. On or about June 28, 2017, the Registrar issued Contractor's License Number	
21	1028464 to JRSchantz Construction; James Randall Schantz, Sole Owner. The Contractor's	
22	License will expire on June 30, 2025, unless renewed.	
23	5. On or about March 30, 2018, the Registrar issued Home Improvement Salesperson	
24	(H.I.S.) Registration Number 112893 SP to James Randall Schantz. The H.I.S. Registration will	
25	expire on March 31, 2024, unless renewed.	
26	6. On or about June 23, 1993, the Registrar issued H.I.S. Registration number 48747 SP	
27	to Larry Ross Hays. The H.I.S. Registration will expire on June 3, 2025, unless renewed.	
28		

JURISDICTION

- 7. This Accusation is brought before the Registrar under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 8. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code, states:

The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

9. Section 7076.5, subdivision (h), of the Code states:

The inactive status of a license shall not bar any disciplinary action by the board against a licensee for any of the causes stated in this chapter.

- 10. Section 7090 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend or revoke any license or registration if the licensee or registrant is guilty of or commits any one or more of the acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action.
 - 11. Section 7106.5 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, revocation, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the registrar or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee, shall not deprive the registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

12. Section 7121 of the Code states:

A person who has been denied a license for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of any partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or whose license has been revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew a license while it was under suspension, and while acting as a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license was denied, suspended, or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager,

1 2	qualifying individual, or member of the personnel of record of a licensee, and the employment, election, or association of this type of person by a licensee in any capacity other than as a nonsupervising bona fide employee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.
3	13. Section 7121.5 of the Code states:
4	A person who was the qualifying individual on a revoked license, or of a
5	license under suspension, or of a license that was not renewed while it was under suspension, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual of a licensee, whether or not the individual had
6	knowledge of or participated in the prohibited acts or omissions for which the license was revoked, or suspended, and the employment, election, or association of that
7	person by a licensee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
9	14. Section 119 of the Code states:
10	Any person who does any of the following is guilty of a misdemeanor:
11	
12	(c) Displays or represents any license not issued to the person as being the person's license.
13	person's needise.
14	••••
15	15. Business and Professions Code section 7027.1 states:
16	(a) It is a misdemeanor for any person to advertise for construction or work of improvement covered by this chapter unless that person holds a valid license under
17 18	this chapter in the classification so advertised, except that a licensed building or engineering contractor may advertise as a general contractor.
19	(b) "Advertise," as used in this section, includes, but not by way of limitation, the issuance of any card, sign, or device to any person, the causing, permitting, or
20	allowing of any sign or marking on or in any building or structure, or in any newspaper, magazine, or by airwave or any electronic transmission, or in any
21	directory under a listing for construction or work of improvement covered by this chapter, with or without any limiting qualifications.
22	(c) A violation of this section is punishable by a fine of not less than seven
23	hundred dollars (\$700) and not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), which fine shall be in addition to any other punishment imposed for a violation of this section.
24	(d) If upon investigation, the registrar has probable cause to believe that an
25	unlicensed individual is in violation of this section, the registrar may issue a citation pursuant to <u>Section 7028.7</u> or <u>7099.10</u> .
26	16. Section 7030.5 of the Code states:
27	Every person licensed pursuant to this chapter shall include his license number in: (a) all construction contracts; (b) subcontracts and calls for hid; and (c) all forms
28	in: (a) all construction contracts; (b) subcontracts and calls for bid; and (c) all forms of advertising, as prescribed by the registrar of contractors, used by such a person.

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

17. Section 7068 of the Code states:

- (a) The board shall require an applicant to show the degree of knowledge and experience in the classification applied for, and the general knowledge of the building, safety, health, and lien laws of the state and of the administrative principles of the contracting business that the board deems necessary for the safety and protection of the public.
- (b) An applicant shall qualify in regard to his or her experience and knowledge in one of the following ways:
- (1) If an individual, he or she shall qualify by personal appearance or by the appearance of his or her responsible managing employee who is qualified for the same license classification as the classification being applied for.
- (2) If a partnership or a limited partnership, it shall qualify by the appearance of a general partner or by the appearance of a responsible managing employee who is qualified for the same license classification as the classification being applied for.
- (3) If a corporation, or any other combination or organization, it shall qualify by the appearance of a responsible managing officer or responsible managing employee who is qualified for the same license classification as the classification being applied for.
- (4) If a limited liability company, it shall qualify by the appearance of a responsible managing officer, a responsible managing manager, responsible managing member, or a responsible managing employee who is qualified for the same license classification as the classification being applied for.
- (c) A responsible managing employee for the purpose of this chapter shall mean an individual who is a bona fide employee of the applicant and is actively engaged in the classification of work for which that responsible managing employee is the qualifying person in behalf of the applicant.
- (d) The board shall, in addition, require an applicant who qualifies by means of a responsible managing employee under either paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b) to show his or her general knowledge of the building, safety, health, and lien laws of the state and of the administrative principles of the contracting business as the board deems necessary for the safety and protection of the public.
- (e) Except in accordance with Section 7068.1, no person qualifying on behalf of an individual or firm under paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (4) of subdivision (b) shall hold any other active contractor's license while acting in the capacity of a qualifying individual pursuant to this section.
- (f) At the time of application for renewal of a license, the current qualifying individual shall file a statement with the registrar, on a form prescribed by the registrar, verifying his or her capacity as a qualifying individual to the licensee.
- (g) Statements made by or on behalf of an applicant as to the applicant's experience in the classification applied for shall be verified by a qualified and responsible person. In addition, the registrar shall, as specified by board regulation, randomly review a percentage of such statements for their veracity.

20. Section 7108 of the Code states:

Diversion of funds or property received for prosecution or completion of a specific construction project or operation, or for a specified purpose in the prosecution or completion of any construction project or operation, or failure substantially to account for the application or use of such funds or property on the construction project or operation for which such funds or property were received constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

21. Section 7109, subdivision (a) of the Code, states:

A willful departure in any material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction constitutes a cause for disciplinary action, unless the departure was in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by or under the direct supervision of an architect.

22. Section 7110 of the Code states:

Willful or deliberate disregard and violation of the building laws of the state, or of any political subdivision thereof, or of Section 8550 or 8556 of this code, or of Sections 1689.5 to 1689.15, inclusive, of the Civil Code, or of the safety laws or labor laws or compensation insurance laws or Unemployment Insurance Code of the state, or of the Subletting and Subcontracting Fair Practices Act (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 4100) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code), or violation by any licensee of any provision of the Health and Safety Code or Water Code, relating to the digging, boring, or drilling of water wells, or Article 2 (commencing with Section 4216) of Chapter 3.1 of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code, constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

23. Section 7113 of the Code states:

Failure in a material respect on the part of a licensee to complete any construction project or operation for the price stated in the contract for such construction project or operation or in any modification of such contract constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

24. Section 7114.2 of the Code states:

Any licensed or unlicensed person who commits any act prohibited by Section 119 is subject to the administrative remedies authorized by this chapter. Unless otherwise expressly provided, the remedies authorized under this section shall be separate from, and in addition to, all other available remedies, whether civil or criminal.

25. Section 7116 of the Code states:

The doing of any wilful or fraudulent act by the licensee as a contractor in consequence of which another is substantially injured constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

| | ///

26. Section 7117.6 of the Code states:

Acting in the capacity of a contractor in a classification other than that currently held by the licensee constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

27. Section 7119 of the Code states:

Willful failure or refusal without legal excuse on the part of a licensee as a contractor to prosecute a construction project or operation with reasonable diligence causing material injury to another constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

28. Section 7154 of the Code states:

- (a) A home improvement contractor licensed under this chapter shall notify the registrar in writing, on a form prescribed by the registrar, about the employment of a registered home improvement salesperson, pursuant to the terms of this article. This notification requirement shall include, but not be limited to, the name and registration number of the home improvement salesperson who is employed by the contractor. The form shall be submitted prior to the home improvement salesperson beginning work for the contractor.
- (b) A home improvement contractor shall notify the registrar in writing, on a form prescribed by the registrar, when a registered home improvement salesperson ceases to be employed by the contractor. This notification requirement shall include, but not be limited to, the name and registration number of the home improvement salesperson who had been employed by the contractor. The form shall be submitted within 90 days after the home improvement salesperson ceases to be employed by the contractor.
- (c) A home improvement contractor who employs a registered home improvement salesperson to sell home improvement contracts, but who fails to report to the registrar pursuant to subdivision (a) or (b), is subject to disciplinary action by the registrar.
- (d) A home improvement contractor who employs a person to sell home improvement contracts while that person is not registered by the registrar as a home improvement salesperson as provided in this article, is subject to disciplinary action by the registrar.

29. Section 7159 of the Code states:

(a)(1) This section identifies the projects for which a home improvement contract is required, outlines the contract requirements, and lists the items that shall be included in the contract, or may be provided as an attachment.

. . .

(5) Failure by the licensee, his or her agent or salesperson, or by a person subject to be licensed under this chapter, to provide the specified information, notices, and disclosures in the contract, or to otherwise fail to comply with any provision of this section, is cause for discipline.

28 || /

- (b) For purposes of this section, "home improvement contract" means an agreement, whether oral or written, or contained in one or more documents, between a contractor and an owner or between a contractor and a tenant, regardless of the number of residence or dwelling units contained in the building in which the tenant resides, if the work is to be performed in, to, or upon the residence or dwelling unit of the tenant, for the performance of a home improvement, as defined in Section 7151, and includes all labor, services, and materials to be furnished and performed thereunder, if the aggregate contract price specified in one or more improvement contracts, including all labor, services, and materials to be furnished by the contractor, exceeds five hundred dollars (\$500). "Home improvement contract" also means an agreement, whether oral or written, or contained in one or more documents, between a salesperson, whether or not he or she is a home improvement salesperson, and an owner or a tenant, regardless of the number of residence or dwelling units contained in the building in which the tenant resides, which provides for the sale, installation, or furnishing of home improvement goods or services.
- (c) In addition to the specific requirements listed under this section, every home improvement contract and any person subject to licensure under this chapter or his or her agent or salesperson shall comply with all of the following:

(d) A home improvement contract and any changes to the contract shall be in writing and signed by the parties to the contract prior to the commencement of work covered by the contract or an applicable change order

30. Section 7159.5 of the Code states:

This section applies to all home improvement contracts, as defined in <u>Section 7151.2</u>, between an owner or tenant and a contractor, whether a general contractor or a specialty contractor, that is licensed or subject to be licensed pursuant to this chapter with regard to the transaction.

(a) Failure by the licensee or a person subject to be licensed under this chapter, or by their agent or salesperson, to comply with the following provisions is cause for discipline:

. . .

(5) Except for a downpayment, the contractor shall neither request nor accept payment that exceeds the value of the work performed or material delivered. The prohibition prescribed by this paragraph extends to advance payment in whole or in part from any lender or financier for the performance or sale of home improvement goods or services.

31. Section 7122 of the Code states:

The performance by an individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association of an act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against a licensee other than the individual qualifying on behalf of the individual or entity, if the licensee was a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of that individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association at the time the act or omission occurred, and had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act or omission.

32. Section 7122.5 of the Code states:

The performance by an individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association of an act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against a licensee who at the time that the act or omission occurred was the qualifying individual of that individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association, whether or not he or she had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act or omission.

COST RECOVERY, RESTITUTION AND OTHER AUTHORITY

33. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

- (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- (b) In the case of a disciplined licentiate that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

34. Section 11519 of the Government Code states:

- (a) The decision shall become effective 30 days after it is delivered or mailed to respondent unless: a reconsideration is ordered within that time, or the agency itself orders that the decision shall become effective sooner, or a stay of execution is granted.
- (b) A stay of execution may be included in the decision or if not included therein may be granted by the agency at any time before the decision becomes effective. The stay of execution provided herein may be accompanied by an express condition that respondent comply with specified terms of probation provided; provided, however, that the terms of probation shall be just as reasonable in light of the findings and decision.
- (c) If respondent was required to register with any public officer, a notification of any suspension or revocation shall be sent to the officer after the decision has become effective.

38. Section 7098 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any license has been revoked under the provisions of this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law], any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee or for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and appearance under the provisions of Section 7068, may be revoked by the registrar without further notice.

39. Section 7102 of the Code states:

After suspension of a license upon any of the grounds set forth in this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law], the registrar may reinstate the license upon proof of compliance by the contractor with all provisions of the decision as to reinstatement or, in the absence of a decision or any provisions of reinstatement, in the sound discretion of the registrar.

After revocation of a license upon any of the grounds set forth in this chapter, the license shall not be reinstated or reissued and a license shall not be issued to any member of the personnel of the revoked licensee found to have had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for revocation, within a minimum period of one year and a maximum period of five years after the final decision of revocation and then only on proper showing that all loss caused by the act or omission for which the license was revoked has been fully satisfied and that all conditions imposed by the decision of revocation have been complied with.

The board shall promulgate regulations covering the criteria to be considered when extending the minimum one-year period. The criteria shall give due consideration to the appropriateness of the extension of time with respect to the following factors:

- (a) The gravity of the violation.
- (b) The history of previous violations.
- (c) Criminal convictions.

When any loss has been reduced to a monetary obligation or debt, however, the satisfaction of the monetary obligation or debt as a prerequisite for the issuance, reissuance, or reinstatement of a license shall not be required to the extent the monetary obligation or debt was discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding. However, any nonmonetary condition not discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding shall be complied with prior to the issuance, the reissuance, or reinstatement of the license.

CHULA VISTA PROJECT

40. On or about August 24, 2020, homeowner J.T. (the homeowner) entered into a written contract with Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios Inc. (All Seasons) to complete the replacement of existing front and back yard turf at J.T.'s residence located in Chula Vista, California (Chula Vista project), for the contract price of \$16,000. All Seasons contracted for work and advertised in a classification All Seasons did not hold, and failed to include All

Season's contractor's license number in the brochure provided to the homeowner and in online advertisement. On the same date, All Seasons requested and received from the homeowner a down payment of \$1,000. The contract was sold by Robert John Martins (Martins), Registered Home Improvement Salesperson (HIS) #125915 SP. All Seasons failed to notify the Registrar of Martins' employment as a registered salesperson in writing, within 90 days of employment. On September 1, 2020, a male who identified himself as "Christian" representing All Seasons appeared at the homeowner's residence to measure, and requested a progress payment of \$6,400, which the homeowner paid by credit card. All Seasons requested and received a progress payment from the homeowner in an amount in excess of work performed or material delivered.

- 41. On September 2, 2020, All Seasons' workers began digging out the front yard of the Chula Vista project, with Christian acting as the construction foreman. All Seasons' crew returned to the project on September 8, 2020, and installed the artificial turf in the front and back yards. During the installation, the homeowner asked Christian about the lack of road base and gravel, Christian claimed the material was not needed. The homeowner observed the crew add black paper over some large rocks, pour sand, add water, then compact the area with a machine, but no base gravel or decomposed granite was ever delivered to the project. Work was last performed on September 8, 2020, at which time the homeowner issued a second progress payment in the amount of \$6,400. On September 9, 2020, the homeowner made the final payment of \$2,200 to All Seasons, signed the completion form, and noted on the form that gravel was not used, however, the homeowner was not provided with a copy of the completion form.
- 42. By December of 2020, the homeowner observed that a large area of turf in her back yard was matted down. The homeowner called All Seasons, spoke to J.P., and explained what was happening. J.P. suggested that the homeowner buy stepping stones and place them on top of the matted turf. The homeowner asked to speak to "the owner," who she understood was Respondent Hays, and was told he was not available. The homeowner sent Respondent Hays emails, but he never responded.
- 43. By December 29, 2020, the homeowner experienced flooding in her back yard. Flooding had not ever been an issue before the work performed by All Seasons, and the

homeowner surmised that All Seasons' crew must have changed the slope of the back yard, causing all the water to pool in one area away from the pre-existing drains installed with the concrete. The homeowner called All Seasons and spoke with J.P. J.P. asked that the homeowner send her a photograph, which the homeowner did, but never heard back from All Seasons.

- 44. The homeowner also noticed in the manufacturer's limited warranty that the turf would not be warranted if it was installed in areas that reach over 90 degrees Fahrenheit, and the homeowner's residence is located in an area of Chula Vista where the temperature reaches over 90 degrees for large swaths of the year. The homeowner's claim to the manufacturer was denied. The manufacturer informed the homeowner that her contractor should have supplied the homeowner with a rake and infill material so that she could maintain the turf and not void the warranty, however, the homeowner never received any tools or extra material from All Seasons, nor were tools or material offered by Respondent All Seasons at the completion of the project.
- 45. The homeowner continued to contact all Seasons over the span of several months, sent them pictures of the old turf versus the new turf to show them the old turf had never matted or started to fall apart in the 5 years she had it. J.P. did not address the homeowner's concerns and only contacted the homeowner to discuss a negative Yelp.com review the homeowner posted about All Seasons. Respondent Schantz failed to exercise direct supervision and control of the Chula Vista project.
- 46. On or about September 16, 2022, a Board industry expert inspected the Chula Vista project, generated a report identifying items performed below industry standards, and estimated the cost to correct/complete the Chula Vista project at \$1,800. The financial injury is determined to be \$1,800.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure of RMO to Exercise Direct Supervision and Control of Project - Respondent All Seasons)

47. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7068.1, in that on the Chula Vista project, its RMO, Respondent James Randall Schantz, failed to exercise direct supervision and control of construction operations to

secure compliance with the Contractors State License Law and the rules and regulations of the Board, as more fully detailed at paragraphs 40 through 46, which are incorporated here by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Advertised Out of Classification - Respondent All Seasons)

48. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code sections 119 and 7027.1, in that Respondent All Seasons advertised for work that required a C-27 (Landscaping Contractor) license, or a C-61/D-12 (Synthetics Products License), while only holding a B Classification (General Building Contractor License), as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 40 through 46, which are incorporated here by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Include License Number in Contract and Advertisements – Respondent All Seasons)

49. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7030.5, in that Respondent failed to include its license number in the contract and in online advertisement, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 40 through 46, which are incorporated here by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Industry Standards - Respondent All Seasons)

- 50. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7109, subdivision (a), in that Respondent All Seasons departed from industry standards in the following respect:
- a. Respondent caused artificial turf to slope toward foundation of structure by approximately 1 inch over 4 feet in back yard right corner.
- b. Respondent installed the artificial turf in the front yard with seam separation, revealing approximately 36 inches of seam area unblended and visible.
- c. Respondent installed the artificial turf in the back yard revealing approximately 60 inches of seam area unblended and visible.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Complete Project for Contract Price - Respondent All Seasons)

51. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7113, in that Respondent failed in a material respect to complete the Chula Vista project for the contract price, and the homeowner was required to spend a substantial amount in excess of the contract price to complete the Chula Vista project in accordance with the contract, as is set forth at paragraphs 40 through 46, which are incorporated here by reference.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acted as a Contractor Out of Classification)

52. Respondent all Seasons has subjected is contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7117.6, in that on the Chula Vista project, Respondent acted as a contractor in a classification that required a C-27 (Landscaping), or a C-61/D-12 (Synthetic Products) license, while only holding a B Classification (General Contractor) license, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 40 through 46, which are incorporated here by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Notify Registrar of Employment of Salesperson Within 90 Days - Respondent All Seasons)

53. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7154, subdivision (c), in that on the Chula Vista project, Respondent employed Robert John Martins, H.I.S. Registration Number 125915 SP, and failed to notify the Registrar, in writing, within 90 days of such employment, as is more fully detailed at paragraph 40 through 46, which are incorporated here by this reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Home Improvement Contract Requirements – Respondent All Seasons)

54. Respondent has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7159.5, in that on the Chula Vista project, Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of that Code, as follows:

27

28

a. <u>Subdivision (a)(5)</u>: Respondent received a progress payment of \$6,4000 before work began or material was delivered.

LA MESA PROJECT

- 55. G.A. and G.A.'s parents, M.A. and R.A., co-own a property located in La Mesa California, which the family transformed into a Community Art Museum. The family (property owners) decided to add an enclosed space with a roof, large windows, and possibly a kitchen to the backyard of the La Mesa property. In or about January of 2019, the property owners contacted All Seasons and met with Respondent Hays at the La Mesa property (La Mesa project) to discuss what the family envisioned for the backyard space. The parties discussed a large structure with windows, concrete, pavers, and drainage, and Hays provided the property owners with a verbal estimate of between \$35,000 to \$50,000. The family decided to hold off on the project remodel due to cost and made plans to contact All Seasons when the property owners were ready to move forward with the project.
- 56. In December of 2020, the property owners contacted All Seasons to set up an appointment with Respondent Hays to meet at the La Mesa property (La Mesa project) to discuss the project. The meeting took place on December 29, 2020. Two of All Seasons' employees, Arturo and Felipe, Jr., were also present during the meeting. Respondent Hays discussed a large structure with concrete and pavers, provided the property owners with a bid of \$71,000, and informed the property owners that it would be advantageous for them if they signed a contract that day and paid a \$1,000 deposit, otherwise, Respondent Hays would not be able to "lock in" the contract amount of \$71,000, and the costs would increase on January 1, 2021. During this meeting, the property owners specifically discussed permits with Respondent Hays, and the property owners were required to sign a waiver as part of the contract agreeing to pay for the permit fees separately. The property owners gave Respondent Hays a \$1,000 deposit that day as requested, via a credit card. The property owners needed to have the work completed before July 2021 because of a planned wedding on the property that summer and made Respondent Hays aware of this. Respondent Hays assured the property owners that completion of the project before July of 2021 could be accomplished and prepared the written contract on site. On

December 29, 2020, Respondent Hays and the property owners, G.A. and her parents, M.A. and R.G., 83 and 86 years old, respectively, signed the contract. The scope of work was to build a large 14' x 34' "LifeRoom," mostly enclosed with three solid walls and one screened-in wall, with electrical, stamped concrete, pavers, flagstone, four large windows, and a motorized screen that could be retracted on the wall, for the contract price was \$71,000. Per the contract, work was to commence on December 29, 2020, and be completed by approximately June 30, 2021, "unless permit issues arrive."

- 57. On January 4, 2021, Respondent Hays met the property owners at the La Mesa project to discuss additional electric work. The parties entered into a written change order with All Seasons to add three lights and two additional outlets to the structure, for the contract price of \$1,075, which revised the contract price to \$72,075. The property owners were to purchase the light fixtures, and All Seasons was to purchase the materials for can lights in the original contract. During the January 4, 2021 meeting, All Seasons requested and received from the property owners a progress payment in the amount of \$15,000 for material, a progress payment in excess of work performed or material delivered. Respondent Hays told the property owners that the material needed to be purchased right away due to COVID, and claimed the property owners could get a better deal on the material if they paid for the material "up front." Respondent Hays also informed the property owners that they would have to wait for the permit to be issued before work could begin.
- 58. In March of 2021, G.A. noticed that she had an unknown charge to her credit card by All Seasons in the amount of \$50. When G.A. asked Respondent All Seasons' staff about the charge, staff surmised the charge could have been for the plans they drafted for the permits, however, the property owners never received a written change order for this additional charge prior to the transaction. All Seasons failed to provide the property owners with a written change order, signed by both parties, prior to this transaction. In May of 2021, the property owners received information from All Seasons' staff that the permit process was taking place and, while on vacation, received notification from All Seasons' employee, "Gary," that the permit had been

28

approved by the City of La Mesa and the property owners needed to pay All Seasons' "permit runner" for the permit fees.

- 59. In June of 2021, the property owners met with Respondent Hays at All Seasons' showroom in El Cajon to pick the colors and material they wanted for the project, and discussed the color of the pavers and stamped concrete. Hays showed the property owners examples of the windows they would be receiving and the type of walls that would be installed, and reassured the homeowners that the work was moving forward.
- On June 30, 2021, Respondent Hays, two employees, Arturo and Felipe, Jr., and one other person, met the property owners at the La Mesa project to discuss the concrete slab and electrical work. No labor was performed that day, and Felipe, Jr., only marked out the areas where the concrete needed to be installed and where the piping needed to be. On this date, Respondent Hays requested and received a second progress payment of \$20,000, an amount in excess of work performed or material delivered. Respondent Hays assured the property owners that the concrete foundation would be completed by July 5, 2021, and that workers would be on site every day from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. working on the project. Respondent Hays informed the property owners that the \$20,000 was specifically to order the windows, walls, and posts for the structure, and reassured the property owners once again that if they gave Respondent Hays the money "up front," that they would get the best price for the material, guaranteed that the material would be delivered to start the installation, and stressed to the property owners that All Seasons would not be able to complete the project by July 2021 if the property owners did not pay this progress payment for these materials. On June 30, 2021, the property owners issued two checks to All Seasons, one in the amount of \$20,000, and another one to the "permit runner" in the amount of \$935.82.
- 61. The property owners decided to have a family member on site every day to monitor the progress of the La Mesa project, and noticed workers arrived late, left the project often during the day, and only worked a fraction of the 8-hour workday. When G.A. questioned the workers about their leaving and returning several times, they informed the property owners that they were also working on another project a block away. By July 5, 2021, the property owners estimated

28

the Respondent All Seasons' workers had only worked a total of 15 hours in four days and only managed to dig four holes. On July 5, 2021, G.A. called Respondent Hays to complain about the lack of progress and All Seasons' failure to meet the concrete installation deadline. G.A. also wanted to confirm that the City Inspector would be out to look at the layout prior to the concrete pour. Respondent Hays seemed confused as to who the caller was and the project in general, and a meeting was set up to meet at the La Mesa project on July 9, 2021.

- 62. G.A.'s husband, D.T., obtained a copy of the building permit from the City of La Mesa and learned from the City that the permit was for a simple basic patio cover, and not for an enclosed structure with windows and electrical. The permit received from the City specifically stated, "No grading. No walls." The property owners started to panic because they had already paid All Seasons \$36,000. D.T. drafted a letter to All Seasons, Schantz, and Hays, asking them to stop work on the project until the permit issues could be resolved with the City.
- 63. On July 9, 2021, the property owners met with Respondent Hays and Respondent Schantz. Respondent Schantz was very apologetic about the situation and openly admitted the City of La Mesa would never have approved a free standing building like the one contracted for, and Respondent Hays became hostile and told Respondent Schantz to "shut up!" During the meeting, Respondent Hays was very aggressive and confrontational when asked questions, and referred to G.A. and her 83-year-old mother as "the girls." During this meeting, Respondent Hays admitted that he instructed Felipe Jr. to dig the holes for the footings in the wrong places. By this time, the property owners realized that they did not want to move forward with All Seasons after having paid All Seasons \$36,000 for material that was never received and for approximately 20 hours of labor digging holes that would not be used, plus the amount to the "permit runner" for permits that could not be used because they were for a completely different structure. That same evening, the property owners received a response from Respondents Schantz and Hays claiming that the walls of the "LifeRoom" were temporary walls with windows that could be removed and would not need to be engineered. Respondents Schantz and Hays further stated that they only applied for the patio cover permit instead of an enclosed structure permit to avoid potential delays; that it would be up to the property owners to hire separate

plumbing and electrical contractors to complete the electrical and plumbing work; and claimed the plumbing and electrical that was included in the original contract was offered to the property owners "as a courtesy" only. The property owners called their credit card company to stop any additional payment request by All Seasons and hired an attorney to help recover the money already paid to All Seasons. Respondent All Seasons abandoned the La Mesa project without legal excuse, after being paid \$36,985.82, failed to deliver material to the project, and failed to substantially commence the project. All Seasons diverted or misappropriated funds from the property owners.

- 64. On July 22, 2021, the property owner's attorney sent All Seasons a demand letter, and the reply to the letter by Respondent All Seasons did not provide a resolution.
- 65. On September 28, 2021, D.T., G.A.'s husband, contacted the City of La Mesa and spoke with an engineer and a building official. D.T. confirmed that a structure such as the one contracted for could not be permitted in the City of La Mesa, confirmed the permitting phases suggested by All Seasons were illegal; and informed the permit obtained by the "permit runner" and paid for by the property owners had expired.
- 66. On or about December 14, 2021, the property owners reached a settlement agreement with All Seasons, which resulted in a reimbursement to the property owners of \$20,000 by All Seasons, and the return of materials ordered to build the structure at the La Mesa project. The property owners believed they could sell the material to recoup the approximately extra \$15,000 they had paid All Seasons for material ordered to build the structure. However, when All Seasons delivered the so-called \$15,000 worth of materials for the enclosed structure, it was less material than expected, and Respondent failed to provide invoices and receipts for the material as requested by the property owners. The property owners decided at this point to file a complaint with the Board.
- 67. On or about January 9, 2022, a Board industry expert inspected the La Mesa project. After review of the material provided by All Seasons to the property owners, the industry expert estimated the cost of the materials to be \$4,522.72, and the cost to correct and complete the

for, resulting in a substantial financial injury to the property owners, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 55 through 67, which are incorporated here by this reference.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Prosecute Project With Reasonable Diligence - Respondent All Windows)

72. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7119, in that Respondent failed to perform work for the La Mesa project with reasonable diligence, causing material injury to the project owners in the amount of \$16,050, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 55 through 67, which are incorporated here by reference.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Provide Written Change Order – Respondent All Seasons)

73. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7159, subdivision (d), in that on the La Mesa project, Respondent failed to reduce a change order to writing, signed by both parties, with a copy provided to the property owners, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 55 through 67, which are incorporated here by reference.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Home Improvement Contract Requirements – Respondent All Seasons)

- 74. Respondent has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code section 7159.5, in that on the La Mesa project, Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of that Code, as follows:
- a. <u>Subdivision (a)(5)</u>: Respondent received progress payments of \$36,050, an amount in excess of work performed or material delivered, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 54 through 66, which are incorporated here by reference.

ESCONDIDO PROJECT

75. March 31, 2021, homeowner V.S. entered into a written contract with All Seasons to complete a 15' x 20' patio room with Smart Glass windows, electrical outlets, and a 10' x 30' insulated patio cover at her residence located on in Escondido, California (Escondido project), for

1

the contract price of \$36,300. The contract was sold by unregistered salesperson, B.W.O., who utilized Respondent Hays' Home Improvement Salesperson (H.I.S.) registration when B.W.O prepared the contract. All Seasons employed an unregistered salesperson and knowingly permitted B.W.O. to use a valid H.I.S. Registration belonging to Respondent Hays. V.S.'s daughter, N.K., was involved in the negotiation of the contract and throughout the project. During the March 31, 2021 meeting, B.W.O. informed N.K. and her mother, V.S., that All Seasons was having a sale and if they wanted the sale price, they would have to sign the contract prior to April 1, 2021. The written contract stated the project would take approximately two months to complete, including the time needed to get the material in stock. B.W.O. told N.K. and V.S. that it would take two weeks to complete the installation once the material arrived approximately six weeks after receiving the material payment. According to N.K., her mother, V.S., really wanted the project completed by her birthday in May 2021, and no one informed N.K. or V.S. that there would be any issues sourcing the materials for the project during COVID. Also during this initial meeting, N.K. asked B.W.O. about obtaining permits from the City of Escondido, because B.W.O. had the parties sign a waiver regarding the obtaining of the permits, and B.W.O. informed N.K. and V.S. that if they did not plan on moving, they did not need a permit and it would not be a problem. N.K. and V.S. were not familiar with the permit process, and ended up believing they would be okay without a permit as V.S. had no intention of ever moving from her home. N.K. gave B.W.O. a down payment of \$1,000.

- 76. On April 15, 2021, Respondent Schantz came to V.S.'s home to take measurements for the material for both patios and requested a progress payment of \$16,500, allegedly for material. On April 15, 2021, N.K. gave Respondent Schantz a check written by her mother dated April 12, 2021, in the amount of \$16,500. All Seasons requested and received from V.S. a progress payment in excess of work performed or material delivered.
- 77. In early May 2021, N.K. called All Seasons and spoke to "M" regarding a status update of the project. M informed N.K. that, due to COVID, they had some unexpected delays. At first, N.K was understanding about the delays, as she believed All Seasons was attempting to

secure the material for the project to complete the installation before the projected completion date of May 2021.

- 78. On June 3, 2021, N.K. contacted All Seasons and received a reply via text message from M informing that the manufacturer had been impacted by COVID and the material was still in the production stage. M provided N.K. and V.S. with a blanket letter from their manufacturer dated May 12, 2021, stating delays were due to COVID. N.K. continued to periodically call All Seasons for updates, but would never receive a call back, and periodically would receive a text message from M, always blaming the delay on COVID, with no other explanation.
- 79. Between June through August 2021, N.K. and V.S. did not receive any update from All Seasons, and no material delivered or labor performed, after having paid a total of \$17,500 to All Seasons.
- 80. In August of 2021, N.K. called Respondent All Seasons again with the intention of cancelling the contract, but was not able to reach anyone. Time got away from N.K. and V.S. and they did not contact All Seasons again until several weeks later, but were not able to reach anyone at All Seasons.
- 81. On November 15, 2021, N.K. called All Seasons and spoke with A.W. N.K. explained to A.W. that N.K. did not have a reasonable explanation to date from All Seasons as to the delay of commencement and completion of her mother's project. A.W. claimed that All Seasons had only received half of the order of materials for the Escondido project, and the remaining half would not be received for several more weeks. A.W. claimed that All Seasons had not been able to complete anyone's installations since March 2021 when the N.K./S.V. contract was signed, which N.K. knew was not a true claim because N.K. happened to know someone who contracted with Respondent N.K. in May 2021, with that person's project completed in June 2021. N.W. told N.K. he would call her back in two weeks, which call was never received.
- 82. On December 13, 2021, N.K. again requested an update from Respondent all Seasons as to their project, and when they did not receive a response from All Seasons, N.K. filed a formal complaint with the Board.

///

- 83. On December 23, 2021, N.K. received a text message from All Seasons stating the installation of the project contracted for in March of 2021 would commence on December 27, 2021, and the text included a demand from All Seasons for another \$16,500 progress payment prior to starting the installation. N.K. asked All Seasons for a copy of the contract and payments already made.
- 84. On December 27, 2021, All Seasons' employees, "Alberto," and "Antonio," delivered some, but not all, of the material needed for the project, at which time All Seasons, through its employee, "Alberto," requested and received an additional progress payment of \$16,500. By this date, All Seasons had received approximately 95 percent of the contract amount with only delivery of a portion of materials and without any labor performed to date. All Seasons requested and received a progress payment in excess of work performed or material delivered. Work began on December 27, 2021, and was completed on January 18, 2022. The final payment of \$2,300 was paid to Respondent All Seasons on January 23, 2022, and the completion form signed on this date. A financial injury was not determined by the Board.
- 85. On September 23, 2022, a Board investigator interviewed Douglas L. Moody (Moody), a City of Escondido Building Official, who confirmed that a permit was absolutely required for the scope of work written in the contract document for the Escondido project, that a permit was never applied for, nor approved, for the property in question, and that having a signed waiver from a homeowner does not excuse a contractor from obtaining a permit. All Seasons failed to obtain a building permit from the City of Escondido Building Department for the Escondido project.
- 86. On September 14, 2022, Respondent Schantz was interviewed by a Board investigator. During the meeting, Schantz confirmed he was aware that a building permit was required for the Escondido project and that he did not obtain a permit because the homeowner "did not want one," admitted to requesting and accepting the first \$16,500 progress payment before work commenced or material was delivered, and admitted to taking the second progress payment of \$16,500 on December 27, 2021 upon delivery of material and before the project began.

1	SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Failed to Obtain Building Permit - Respondent All Seasons)	
3	87. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action	
4	under Code section 7110, in that Respondent failed to obtain the appropriate building permits	
5	from the City of Escondido prior to commencing the Escondido project, as is more fully detailed	
6	at paragraphs 75 through 86, which are incorporated here by this reference.	
7	SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
8	(Misuse of Home Improvement Salesperson Registration – Respondent All Seasons)	
9	88. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action	
10	under Code sections 119(c) and 7114.2, in that Respondent knowingly permitted unregistered	
11	salesperson B.W.O. the use of Respondent Hays' valid H.I.S. Registration No. 48747, as is more	
12	fully detailed at paragraphs 75 through 86, which are incorporated here by reference.	
13	EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
۱4	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson – Respondent All Seasons)	
15	89. Respondent All Seasons has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action	
16	under Code section 7154, subdivision (d), in that on the Escondido project, Respondent employe	
17	unregistered salesperson B.W.O., as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 75 through 86, which as	
18	incorporated here by reference.	
19	NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
20	(Payment in Excess of Work Performed)	
21	90. Respondent has subjected its contractor's license to disciplinary action under Code	
22	section 7159.5, in that on the Escondido project, Respondent failed to comply with the provisions	
23	of that Code, as follows:	
24	a. <u>Subdivision (a)(5)</u> : Respondent received progress payments amounts in excess of	
25	work performed or material delivered, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 74 through 85,	
26	which are incorporated here by reference.	
27		
28		
	27	

TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Cause for Discipline for Qualifier – Respondent James Randall Schantz)

91. Pursuant to section 7122.5 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent All Seasons constitute cause for discipline against Respondent James Randall Schantz, doing business as J R Schantz, dba The Screen Machine, under license number 788012, regardless of whether Respondent James Randall Schantz had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent All Seasons.

TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Cause for Discipline for Qualifier - Respondent James Randall Schantz)

92. Pursuant to section 7122.5 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent All Seasons constitute cause for discipline against Respondent James Randall Schantz, doing business as JRSchantz Construction, under license number 1028464, regardless of whether Respondent James Randall Schantz had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent All Seasons.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Cause for Discipline for Qualifier - Respondent James Randall Schantz)

93. Pursuant to section 7122.5 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent All Seasons constitute cause for discipline against Respondent James Randall Schantz, doing business as James Randall Schantz, under Home Improvement Salesperson Registration No. 112893 SP, regardless of whether Respondent Jams Randall Schantz had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent All Seasons.

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Cause for Discipline for Officer – Respondent Larry Ross Hays)

94. Pursuant to section 7122 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent All Seasons constitute cause for discipline against Respondent Larry Ross Hays, under Home Improvement Salesperson Registration No. 48747 SP, because Respondent Larry

Ross Hays had knowledge of and participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent All Seasons.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 95. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges:
- a. On or about September 16, 2020, Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc., License No. 1011149, received Letter of Admonishment #LOA 2020-0041 from the Board, Complaint No. SV 2020-1720, for violation of Code sections 7027.1 (advertising in a classification not on the license).
- b. On or about July 29, 2022, Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc., License No. 1011149, was issued Citation No. 2 2021 2429, for violation of Code sections 7027.1 (Advertising by Unlicensed Person), for advertising for construction work requiring a C27 (Landscaping) and/or C61/D12 (Synthetic Products, and a C13 (Fencing) classification license when only licensed as a B (General Building Contractor) classification; 7030.5 (failed to include contractor's license number in advertising); and 7154(d) (Employed unregistered home improvement salesperson B.W.O.). Citation No. 2 2021 2429 is final.

CAUSES FOR OTHER ACTION

FIRST CAUSE FOR OTHER ACTION

(Restrictions on Qualifier – Respondent James Randall Schantz)

96. Pursuant to Code section 7121.5, if License Number 1011149 issued to Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc., is revoked or suspended, Respondent James Randall Schantz shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual of a licensee during the time the discipline is imposed, whether or not he had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for discipline as alleged in the causes for discipline, above, and any licensee which employs, elects, or associates Respondent James Randall Schantz other than as a bona fide nonsupervising employee shall be subject to disciplinary action.

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR OTHER ACTION

(Restrictions on Respondent Larry Ross Hays)

97. Pursuant to Code section 7121, if License Number 1011149 issued to Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc., is revoked or suspended, Respondent Larry Ross Hays shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual, or member of the personnel of record of a licensee in that, while serving as Officer of Respondent Hays had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for discipline as alleged in the causes for discipline, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Registrar issue a decision:

- 1. Ordering the revocation or suspension of Contractor's License Number 1011149 issued to All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc., pursuant to Code section 7090;
- 2. Ordering the revocation or suspension of Contractor's License Number 788012 issued to J R Schantz, doing business as The Screen Machine, pursuant to Code section 7090;
- 3. Ordering the revocation or suspension of Contractor's License Number 1028464 issued to JRSchantz Construction, pursuant to Code section 7090;
- Ordering the revocation or suspension of Home Improvement Registration
 No. 112893 SP issued to Respondent James Randall Schantz, pursuant to Code section 7090;
- 5. Ordering the revocation or suspension of Home Improvement Registration No. 48747 SP issued to Larry Ross Hays, pursuant to Code section 7090;
- 6. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by J.T., as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered for Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc.; Respondent James Randall Schantz; and/or Respondent Larry Ross Hays, pursuant to Government Code section 11519, subdivision (d);
- 7. If revocation of Contractor's License Number 1011149 issued to All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc. is ordered, an order for restitution of all damages suffered by J.T. as a result of Respondent All Seasons Windows and Patios, Inc.'s conduct as a contractor shall be