1	Rob Bonta	
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 225325	•
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6322 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126	
• 7	Email: Armando.Zambrano@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE T REGISTRAR OF CON	
10	CONTRACTORS STATE I	LICENSE BOARD
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONS STATE OF CALL	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. N2019-156
14	ECO TECHNOLOGY INC.	ACCUSATION
15	PATRICK KAZIMIERZ WILANOWSKI, RMO JOSEF ALAN RUIZ, RME	
16	NORBERTAS SINIĆA, CEO/PRES MARIJA POPOV, OFFICER,	
17	16255 Ventura Blvd, Ste 910 Encino, CA 91436	
18	Contractor's License No. 1030029, B, C-36	
19	PATRICK KAZIMIERZ WILANOWSKI, DBA WORX CONTRACTING	,
20	1151 Westcreek Lane Westlake Village, CA 91362	
21	Contractor's License No. 999849, B	
22	Respondents.	
23		
_ 24		
25	PARTIES	
26		Accusation solely in her official capacity as
27	the Supervising Special Investigator II of the Contract	tors State License Board (Board),
28	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
	1	
	I (EC	CO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	2. On or about August 15, 2017, the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) issued
2	Contractor's License Number 1030029, in classifications B (general building) and C-36
3	(plumbing) to Eco Technology Inc. (Respondent Eco Technology). The Contractor's License
4	expired on August 31, 2021, and has not been renewed. Patrick Kazimierz Wilanowski
5	(Respondent Patrick Wilanowski) associated as the responsible managing officer (RMO) on
6	August 15, 2017, until he disassociated on June 7, 2018. Josef Alan Ruiz (Respondent Josef
7	Ruiz) associated as responsible managing employee (RME) on September 28, 2018. Norbertas
8	Sinica (Respondent Norbertas Sinica) associated as CEO and President on August 15, 2017.
9	Marija Popov (Respondent Marija Popov) associated as officer on August 15, 2017.
10	3. On or about January 7, 2015, the Registrar issued Contractor's License Number
11	999849, in classification B (general building) to Patrick Kazimierz Wilanowski, dba Worx
12	Contracting (Respondent Patrick Wilanowski). The Contractor's License will expire on January
13	31, 2023, unless renewed.
14	JURISDICTION
15	4. This Accusation is brought before the Registrar for the Board, under the authority of
16	the following laws. All section references are to the Code unless otherwise indicated.
17	5. Code section 118(b), states:
18	The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of
19	the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued,
20	or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order
21	suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.
22	Avonsee on any such ground.
23	6. Code section 7076.5(h), states:
24	The inactive status of a license shall not bar any disciplinary action by the
	I noard against a licensee for any of the causes stated in this chapter
25	board against a licensee for any of the causes stated in this chapter.
25 26	<ul> <li>board against a licensee for any of the causes stated in this chapter.</li> <li>7. Code section 7090 provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend or</li> </ul>
26	7. Code section 7090 provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend or
26 27	7. Code section 7090 provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend or revoke any license or registration if the licensee or registrant is guilty of or commits any one or

L

#### 8. Code section 7106.5 states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, revocation, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the registrar or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee, shall not deprive the registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

9. Code section 7121 states:

A person who has been denied a license for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of any partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or whose license has been revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew a license while it was under suspension, and while acting as a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license was denied, suspended, or revoked. shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual, or member of the personnel of record of a licensee, and the employment, election, or association of this type of person by a licensee in any capacity other than as a nonsupervising bona fide employee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.

10.

Code section 7121.5 states:

A person who was the qualifying individual on a revoked license, or of a license under suspension, or of a license that was not renewed while it was under suspension, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual of a licensee, whether or not the individual had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited acts or omissions for which the license was revoked, or suspended, and the employment, election, or association of that person by a licensee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

11. Code section 7068.1 states:

(a) The person qualifying on behalf of an individual or firm under paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (4) of subdivision (b) of Section 7068 shall be responsible for exercising that direct supervision and control of his or her employer's or principal's construction operations to secure compliance with this chapter and the rules and regulations of the board. This person shall not act in the capacity of the qualifying person for an additional individual or firm unless one of the following conditions exists:

(1) There is a common ownership of at least 20 percent of the equity of each individual or firm for which the person acts in a qualifying capacity.

(2) The additional firm is a subsidiary of or a joint venture with the first. 'Subsidiary," as used in this subdivision, means any firm at least 20 percent of the

1	equity of which is owned by the other firm.
2 3	(3) With respect to a firm under paragraph (2), (3), or (4) of subdivision (b) of Section 7068, the majority of the partners, officers, or managers are the same.
4	(b) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a), a qualifying individual may act as the qualifier for no more than three firms in any one-year period.
5	(c) The following definitions shall apply for purposes of this section:
6	(1) "Firm" means a partnership, a limited partnership, a corporation, a limited
7	liability company, or any other combination or organization described in Section 7068.
9	(2) "Person" is limited to natural persons, notwithstanding the definition of "person" in Section 7025.
10	(d) The board shall require every applicant or licensee qualifying by the
11	appearance of a qualifying individual to submit detailed information on the qualifying individual's duties and responsibilities for supervision and control of the applicant's construction operations.
12	(e) Violation of this section shall constitute a cause for disciplinary action and
13 14	shall be punishable as a misdemeanor by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed six months, by a fine of not less than three thousand dollars (\$3,000), but not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or by both the fine and imprisonment.
15	12. Code section 7109 states:
16 17	(a) A willful departure in any material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction constitutes a cause for disciplinary action, unless the departure was in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by or under the direct supervision of an architect.
18	
19	13. Code section 7110 states:
20	Willful or deliberate disregard and violation of the building laws of the state, or of any political subdivision thereof, or of Section 8550 or 8556 of this code, or of
21	Sections 1689.5 to 1689.15, inclusive, of the Civil Code, or of the safety laws or labor laws or compensation insurance laws or Unemployment Insurance Code of the state,
22 23	or of the Subletting and Subcontracting Fair Practices Act (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 4100) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code), or violation by any licenses of any provision of the Haalth and Sefety Code on Weter Code
23	by any licensee of any provision of the Health and Safety Code or Water Code, relating to the digging, boring, or drilling of water wells, or Article 2 (commencing with Section 4216) of Chapter 3.1 of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code,
25	constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.
26	14. Code section 7113 states:
27 28	Failure in a material respect on the part of a licensee to complete any construction project or operation for the price stated in the contract for such construction project or operation or in any modification of such contract constitutes a
	4
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATI

1	
1	cause for disciplinary action.
2	15. Code section 7116 states:
3	The doing of any wilful or fraudulent act by the licensee as a contractor in
4	consequence of which another is substantially injured constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.
5	16. Code section 7117.6 states:
6	Acting in the capacity of a contractor in a classification other than that currently
7	held by the licensee constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.
8	17. Code section 7122.5 states:
9	The performance by an individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association of an act or omission constituting a cause for
10	disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against a licensee who at the time that the act or omission occurred was the qualifying
11	individual of that individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association, whether or not he or she had knowledge of or participated in the
12	prohibited act or omission.
13	18. Code section 7125.4 states:
14	(a) The filing of the exemption certificate prescribed by this article that is false, or the employment of a person subject to coverage under the workers' compensation
15	laws after the filing of an exemption certificate without first filing a Certificate of Workers' Compensation Insurance or Certification of Self-Insurance in accordance
16 17	with the provisions of this article, or the employment of a person subject to coverage under the workers' compensation laws without maintaining coverage for that person, constitutes cause for disciplinary action.
18	(b) Any qualifier for a license who, under Section 7068.1, is responsible for
19	assuring that a licensee complies with the provisions of this chapter is also guilty of a misdemeanor for committing or failing to prevent the commission of any of the acts that are cause for disciplinary action under this section.
20	that are eause for disciplinary detton ander this section.
21	19. Code section 7154 states:
22	(a) A home improvement contractor licensed under this chapter shall notify the
23	registrar in writing, on a form prescribed by the registrar, about the employment of a registered home improvement salesperson, pursuant to the terms of this article. This
24	notification requirement shall include, but not be limited to, the name and registration number of the home improvement salesperson who is employed by the contractor. The form shall be submitted prior to the home improvement salespectrum becimin a
25	The form shall be submitted prior to the home improvement salesperson beginning work for the contractor.
26	(b) A home improvement contractor shall notify the registrar in writing, on a form prescribed by the registrar, when a registered home improvement salesperson
27	ceases to be employed by the contractor. This notification requirement shall include,
28	but not be limited to, the name and registration number of the home improvement salesperson who had been employed by the contractor. The form shall be submitted
	5

1 within 90 days after the home improvement salesperson ceases to be employed by the contractor. 2 (c) A home improvement contractor who employs a registered home 3 improvement salesperson to sell home improvement contracts, but who fails to report to the registrar pursuant to subdivision (a) or (b), is subject to disciplinary action by 4 the registrar. 5 (d) A home improvement contractor who employs a person to sell home improvement contracts while that person is not registered by the registrar as a home 6 improvement salesperson as provided in this article, is subject to disciplinary action by the registrar. 7 20.8 Code section 7159 states, in pertinent part: 9 (c) In addition to the specific requirements listed under this section, every home improvement contract and any person subject to licensure under this chapter or his or 10 her agent or salesperson shall comply with all of the following: 11 12 (4) The contract shall include a statement that, upon satisfactory payment being made for any portion of the work performed, the contractor, prior to any further 13 payment being made, shall furnish to the person contracting for the home improvement or swimming pool work a full and unconditional release from any 14 potential lien claimant claim or mechanics lien authorized pursuant to Sections 8400 and 8404 of the Civil Code for that portion of the work for which payment has been 15 made. 16 17 (6) The contract shall contain, in close proximity to the signatures of the owner and contractor, a notice stating that the owner or tenant has the right to require the 18 contractor to have a performance and payment bond. 19 20(d) A home improvement contract and any changes to the contract shall be in writing and signed by the parties to the contract prior to the commencement of work 21 covered by the contract or an applicable change order and, except as provided in paragraph (8) of subdivision (a) of Section 7159.5, shall include or comply with all of 22 the following: 23 (1) The name, business address, and license number of the contractor. 24 25(e) Except as provided in paragraph (8) of subdivision (a) of Section 7159.5, all of the following notices shall be provided to the owner as part of the contract form as 26 specified or, if otherwise authorized under this subdivision, may be provided as an attachment to the contract: 27 28 6

I	
1	(4) A notice with the heading "Mechanics Lien Warning" written as follows:
2	"MECHANICS LIEN WARNING:
3	Anyone who helps improve your property, but who is not paid, may record what is called a mechanics lien on your property. A mechanics lien is a claim, like a
4	mortgage or home equity loan, made against your property and recorded with the county recorder.
5	Even if you pay your contractor in full, unpaid subcontractors, suppliers, and
6 7	laborers who helped to improve your property may record mechanics liens and sue you in court to foreclose the lien. If a court finds the lien is valid, you could be forced to pay twice or have a court officer sell your home to pay the lien. Liens can also
8	affect your credit.
9	To preserve their right to record a lien, each subcontractor and material supplier must provide you with a document called a 'Preliminary Notice.' This notice is not a
10	lien. The purpose of the notice is to let you know that the person who sends you the notice has the right to record a lien on your property if he or she is not paid.
11	BE CAREFUL. The Preliminary Notice can be sent up to 20 days after the subcontractor starts work or the supplier provides material. This can be a big problem
12	if you pay your contractor before you have received the Preliminary Notices.
13	You will not get Preliminary Notices from your prime contractor or from laborers who work on your project. The law assumes that you already know they are
14	improving your property.
15	PROTECT YOURSELF FROM LIENS. You can protect yourself from liens by getting a list from your contractor of all the subcontractors and material suppliers that
16 17	work on your project. Find out from your contractor when these subcontractors started work and when these suppliers delivered goods or materials. Then wait 20 days, paying attention to the Preliminary Notices you receive.
18	PAY WITH JOINT CHECKS. One way to protect yourself is to pay with a
19	joint check. When your contractor tells you it is time to pay for the work of a subcontractor or supplier who has provided you with a Preliminary Notice, write a joint check payable to both the contractor and the subcontractor or material supplier.
20	
21	For other ways to prevent liens, visit CSLB's Internet Web site at www.cslb.ca.gov or call CSLB at 800-321-CSLB (2752).
22	REMEMBER, IF YOU DO NOTHING, YOU RISK HAVING A LIEN PLACED ON YOUR HOME. This can mean that you may have to pay twice, or face
23	the forced sale of your home to pay what you owe."
24	(5) The following notice shall be provided in at least 12-point typeface:
25	"Information about the Contractors' State License Board (CSLB): CSLB is the state consumer protection agency that licenses and regulates construction contractors.
26	
27	Contact CSLB for information about the licensed contractor you are considering, including information about disclosable complaints, disciplinary actions, and civil judgments that are reported to CSLB.
28	
	7

1	Use only licensed contractors. If you file a complaint against a licensed
2	contractor within the legal deadline (usually four years), CSLB has authority to investigate the complaint. If you use an unlicensed contractor, CSLB may not be able
to help you resolve your complaint. Your only remedy may be in civil	to help you resolve your complaint. Your only remedy may be in civil court, and you may be liable for damages arising out of any injuries to the unlicensed contractor or
4	the unlicensed contractor's employees.
5	For more information:
6	Visit CSLB's Internet Web site at www.cslb.ca.gov
7	Call CSLB at 800-321-CSLB (2752)
8	Write CSLB at P.O. Box 26000, Sacramento, CA 95826."
9	(6) (A) The notice set forth in subparagraph (B) and entitled "Three-Day Right to Cancel," shall be provided to the buyer unless the contract is:
10	(i) Negotiated at the contractor's place of business.
11	(ii) Subject to the "Seven-Day Right to Cancel," as set forth in paragraph (7).
12	(iii) Subject to licensure under the Alarm Company Act (Chapter 11.6
13	(commencing with Section 7590)), provided the alarm company licensee complies with Sections 1689.5, 1689.6, and 1689.7 of the Civil Code, as applicable.
14	21. Code section 7159.5 states, in pertinent part:
15	This section applies to all home improvement contracts, as defined in Section
16	7151.2, between an owner or tenant and a contractor, whether a general contractor or a specialty contractor, that is licensed or subject to be licensed pursuant to this
17	chapter with regard to the transaction.
18	(a) Failure by the licensee or a person subject to be licensed under this chapter, or by his or her agent or salesperson, to comply with the following provisions is cause for discipline:
19	
20	(5) Except for a downpayment, the contractor may neither request nor accept
21	payment that exceeds the value of the work performed or material delivered.
22 ·	22. Code section 7159.10 states, in pertinent part:
23	· · · · ·
24	(d) Every service and repair contract described in subdivision (a) shall include,
25	or otherwise comply with, all of the following:
26	
27 28	(4) Before any work is started, the contractor shall give the buyer a copy of the contract signed and dated by the buyer and by the contractor or the contractor's representative.
20	. 8
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSAT

23. Code section 7161 states, in pertinent part:

It is a misdemeanor for any person to engage in any of the following acts, the commission of which shall be cause for disciplinary action against any licensee or applicant:

(b) Making any substantial misrepresentation in the procurement of a contract for a home improvement or other work of improvement or making any false promise of a character likely to influence, persuade or, induce any person to enter into the contract.

(c) Any fraud in the execution of, or in the material alteration of, any contract, trust deed, mortgage, promissory note, or other document incident to a home improvement transaction or other transaction involving a work of improvement.

### LICENSE CLASSIFICATIONS

24. Code section 7055 states:

For the purpose of classification, the contracting business includes any or all of the following branches:

(a) General engineering contracting.

(b) General building contracting.

- (c) Specialty contracting.
- 25. Code section 7056 states:

A general engineering contractor is a contractor whose principal contracting business is in connection with fixed works requiring specialized engineering knowledge and skill, including the following divisions or subjects: irrigation, drainage, water power, water supply, flood control, inland waterways, harbors, docks and wharves, shipyards and ports, dams and hydroelectric projects, levees, river control and reclamation works, railroads, highways, streets and roads, tunnels, airports and airways, sewers and sewage disposal plants and systems, waste reduction plants, bridges, overpasses, underpasses and other similar works, pipelines and other systems for the transmission of petroleum and other liquid or gaseous substances, parks, playgrounds and other recreational works, refineries, chemical plants and similar industrial plants requiring specialized engineering knowledge and skill, powerhouses, powerplants and other utility plants and installations, mines and metallurgical plants, land leveling and earthmoving projects, excavating, grading, trenching, paving and surfacing work and cement and concrete works in connection with the above-mentioned fixed works.

26

26.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

Code section 7057 states:

(a) Except as provided in this section, a general building contractor is a contractor whose principal contracting business is in connection with any structure built, being built, or to be built, for the support, shelter, and enclosure of persons,

animals, chattels, or movable property of any kind, requiring in its construction the use of at least two unrelated building trades or crafts, or to do or superintend the whole or any part thereof.

This does not include anyone who merely furnishes materials or supplies under Section 7045 without fabricating them into, or consuming them in the performance of, the work of the general building contractor.

(b) A general building contractor may take a prime contract or a subcontract for a framing or carpentry project. However, a general building contractor shall not take a prime contract for any project involving trades other than framing or carpentry unless the prime contract requires at least two unrelated building trades or crafts other than framing or carpentry, or unless the general building contractor holds the appropriate license classification or subcontracts with an appropriately licensed contractor to perform the work. A general building or carpentry, unless the subcontract involving trades other than framing or carpentry, unless the subcontract requires at least two unrelated trades or crafts other than framing or carpentry, or unless the general building contractor holds the appropriate license classification. The general building contractor shall not count framing or carpentry in calculating the two unrelated trades necessary in order for the general building contractor to be able to take a prime contract or subcontract for a project involving other trades.

(c) A general building contractor shall not contract for any project that includes a fire protection system as provided for in Section 7026.12 or 7026.13, or the "C-57" Well Drilling classification as provided for in Section 13750.5 of the Water Code, unless the general building contractor holds the appropriate license classification, or subcontracts with the appropriately licensed contractor.

15  $\parallel$  27. Code section 7058(a) states:

A specialty contractor is a contractor whose operations involve the performance of construction work requiring special skill and whose principal contracting business involves the use of specialized building trades or crafts.

28. Code section 7059(a) states:

The board may adopt reasonably necessary rules and regulations to effect the classification of contractors in a manner consistent with established usage and procedure as found in the construction business, and may limit the field and scope of the operations of a licensed contractor to those in which he or she is classified and qualified to engage, as defined by Sections 7055, 7056, 7057, and 7058. A licensee may make application for classification and be classified in more than one classification if the licensee meets the qualifications prescribed by the board for such additional classification or classifications. The application shall be in a form as prescribed by the registrar and shall be accompanied by the application fee fixed by this chapter. No license fee shall be charged for an additional classification or classifications.

Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit a specialty contractor from taking and executing a contract involving the use of two or more crafts or trades, if the performance of the work in the crafts or trades, other than in which he or she is licensed, is incidental and supplemental to the performance of the work in the craft for which the specialty contractor is licensed.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	
1	29. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 830 states:
2	(a) All contractors to whom licenses are issued shall be classified by the
3	Registrar as a specialty contractor, as defined in this article; a general engineering contractor (Class A), as defined in Section 7056 of the Code; or a general building
4	contractor (Class B), as defined in Section 7057 of the Code.
5	(b) Contractors licensed in one classification shall be prohibited from contracting in the field of any other classification unless they are also licensed in that
6	classification or are permitted to do so by Section 831.
7	30. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 831 states:
8	For purposes of Section 7059, work in other classifications is "incidental and supplemental" to the work for which a specialty contractor is licensed if that work is
9	essential to accomplish the work in which the contractor is classified. A specialty contractor may use subcontractors to complete the incidental and supplemental work,
10	or he may use his own employees to do so.
11	31. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 832 states, in pertinent part:
12	Specialty contractors shall perform their trade using the art, experience, science and skill necessary to satisfactorily organize, administer, construct and complete
13	projects under their classification, in accordance with the standards of their trade.
14	They are classified into the following subclassifications:
15	
16	PlumbingC-36
17	32. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 832.36 states:
18	A plumbing contractor provides a means for a supply of safe water, ample in volume and of suitable temperatures for the purpose intended and the proper disposal
19	of fluid waste from the premises in all structures and fixed works. This classification includes but is not limited to:
20	(a) Complete removal of waste from the premises or the construction and
21	connection of on-site waste disposal systems;
22	(b) Piping, storage tanks and venting for a safe and adequate supply of gases and liquids for any purpose, including vacuum, compressed air and gases for medical,
23	dental, commercial and industrial uses;
24 25	(c) All gas appliances, flues and gas connections for all systems including suspended space heating units. This does not include forced warm air units;
23 26	(d) Water and gas piping from the property owner's side of the utility meter to the structure or fixed works;
27	(e) Installation of any type of equipment to heat water, or fluids, to a
28 -	temperature suitable for the purposes listed in this section, including the installation of solar equipment for this purpose; and
	11

1 (f) The maintenance and replacement of all items described above and all health and safety devices such as, but not limited to, gas earthquake valves, gas control 2 valves, back flow preventors, water conditioning equipment and regulating valves. COST RECOVERY, RESTITUTION, AND OTHER AUTHORITY 3 33. Code section 125.3 states, in pertinent part: 4 5 (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the 6 Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation 7 or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 8 (b) In the case of a disciplined licentiate that is a corporation or a partnership, 9 the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership. Government Code section 11519 states: 34. 10 11 (a) The decision shall become effective 30 days after it is delivered or mailed to respondent unless: a reconsideration is ordered within that time, or the agency itself 12 orders that the decision shall become effective sooner, or a stay of execution is granted. 13 (b) A stay of execution may be included in the decision or if not included 14 therein may be granted by the agency at any time before the decision becomes effective. The stay of execution provided herein may be accompanied by an express 15 condition that respondent comply with specified terms of probation provided; provided, however, that the terms of probation shall be just as reasonable in light of 16 the findings and decision. 17 (c) If respondent was required to register with any public officer, a notification of any suspension or revocation shall be sent to the officer after the decision has 18 become effective. 19 (d) As used in subdivision (b), specified terms of probation may include an order of restitution. Where restitution is ordered and paid pursuant to the provisions 20of this subdivision, the amount paid shall be credited to any subsequent judgment in a civil action. 21 (e) The person to which the agency action is directed may not be required to 22 comply with a decision unless the person has been served with the decision in the manner provided in Section 11505 or has actual knowledge of the decision. 23 (f) A nonparty may not be required to comply with a decision unless the agency 24has made the decision available for public inspection and copying or the nonparty has actual knowledge of the decision. 25 (g) This section does not preclude an agency from taking immediate action to 26 protect the public interest in accordance with Article 13 (commencing with Section 11460.10) of Chapter 4.5. 27 28

35. Code section 143.5(b) states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any board, bureau, or program within the Department of Consumer Affairs that takes disciplinary action against a licensee or licensees based on a complaint or report that has also been the subject of a civil action and that has been settled for monetary damages providing for full and final satisfaction of the parties may not require its licensee or licensees to pay any additional sums to the benefit of any plaintiff in the civil action.

36. Code section 7095 states:

The decision may:

(a) Provide for the immediate complete suspension by the licensee of all operations as a contractor during the period fixed by the decision.

(b) Permit the licensee to complete any or all contracts shown by competent evidence taken at the hearing to be then uncompleted.

(c) Impose upon the licensee compliance with such specific conditions as may be just in connection with his operations as a contractor disclosed at the hearing and may further provide that until such conditions are complied with no application for restoration of the suspended or revoked licensee shall be accepted by the registrar.

37. Code section 7097 states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any licensee has been suspended by a decision of the registrar pursuant to an accusation or pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 7071.17, Section 7085.6 or 7090.1, any additional license issued under this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law] in the name of the licensee or for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and appearance under the provisions of Section 7068, may be suspended by the registrar without further notice.

38. Code section 7098 states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any license has been revoked under the provisions of this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law], any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee or for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and appearance under the provisions of Section 7068, may be revoked by the registrar without further notice.

39. Code section 7102 states:

After suspension of a license upon any of the grounds set forth in this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law], the registrar may reinstate the license upon proof of compliance by the contractor with all provisions of the decision as to reinstatement or, in the absence of a decision or any provisions of reinstatement, in the sound discretion of the registrar.

After revocation of a license upon any of the grounds set forth in this chapter, the license shall not be reinstated or reissued and a license shall not be issued to any member of the personnel of the revoked licensee found to have had knowledge of or

1	participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for revocation, within a minimum period of one year and a maximum period of five years after the final
2 3	decision of revocation and then only on proper showing that all loss caused by the act or omission for which the license was revoked has been fully satisfied and that all conditions imposed by the decision of revocation have been complied with.
4	The board shall promulgate regulations covering the criteria to be considered
5	when extending the minimum one-year period. The criteria shall give due consideration to the appropriateness of the extension of time with respect to the following factors:
6	(a) The gravity of the violation.
7	(b) The history of previous violations.
8	(c) Criminal convictions.
9	
10 11	When any loss has been reduced to a monetary obligation or debt, however, the satisfaction of the monetary obligation or debt as a prerequisite for the issuance, reissuance, or reinstatement of a license shall not be required to the extent the
11	monetary obligation or debt was discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding. However, any nonmonetary condition not discharged in a bankruptcy proceeding shall be complied with prior to the issuance, the reissuance, or reinstatement of the license.
13	DAVENTRY STREET PROJECT A
14	40. On or about May 24, 2019, homeowner G.R. was solicited by unregistered
15	salespersons Kelliams Chavistad from Respondent Eco Technology at her residence located on
16	Daventry Street in Pacoima, California (Daventry Street Project A). Kelliams Chavistad said
17	G.R. could qualify for free home improvement work through a government program. G.R. agreed
18	to have her roof replaced with a cool roof, a tankless water heater installed, and water lines
19	replaced. G.R. provided Kelliams Chavistad her tax return and driver's license. Kelliams
20	Chavistad and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide G.R. with a written contract prior to
21	the start of work. Kelliams Chavistad told G.R. the project would be handled by another Eco
22	Technology employee, Selena Garcia.
23	Work began on or about June 6, 2019 and was completed on or about June 19, 2019.
24	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$52,750 on the project by a Property Assessed Clean
25	Energy (PACE) loan.
26	The Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) Act allows the cost of energy saving
27	investments like solar panels to be paid via property taxes rather than with traditional loan
28	payments. A PACE assessment is tied to the property as opposed to the property owner.
	14
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	G.R. later discovered that a PACE loan had been opened and assessed on her property in
2	the amount of \$52,750. G.R. had no knowledge of the loan and never knowingly signed or
3	authorized the funding of the loan. G.R. also discovered that her signature had been forged on the
4	home improvement contract and finance documents. G.R. observed a phone number and email
5	address on the documents which did not belong to her. During the investigation, PACE Funding
6	provided a recorded telephone call that included a person identified as Selena Garcia, and an
7	unknown female voice that impersonated G.R. provided personal identification to PACE in order
8	to apply for the loan. A second telephone call has an unknown female voice impersonating G.R.
9	and purports to certify the Respondent Eco Technology's work as completed and authorized
10	Respondent Eco Technology to receive payment from the loan.
11	An industry expert inspected the project and determined that Respondent Eco Technology's
12	work was substandard with an estimated \$17,551 in costs to correct.
13	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology)
15	41. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
16	7109(a), in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology willfully departed
17	in a material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction as
18	follows:
19	a. Respondent Eco Technology failed to install a Cool Roof.
20	b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly adhered the torch down membrane
21	and trim at perimeter locations.
22	c. Respondent Eco Technology failed to replace metal edge flashings to allow for
23	positive adhesion for the torch down membrane.
24	d. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly integrate newly installed vent pipe
25	flashing with the torch down membrane. Areas of negative slope and ponding occur within the
26	field of the roof which creates a reduction in useful life of the membrane.
27	e. Respondent Eco Technology failed to install hot and cold supply tubing, piping, and
28	connections under sink basins at kitchen and bath locations.
	15
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	f. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly secure and support PEX hot and cold
2	supply lines to floor joists. The supply lines were buried in soil.
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
5	42. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
6	7116, in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
- 7	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to G.R.
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Contracting Out of Classification - Respondent Eco Technology)
10	43. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
11	7117.6, in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology contracted for work
12	which required a B classification while licensed only as a C-36 classification.
13	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
15	44. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
16	7154, in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
17	salespersons, Kelliams Chavistad and Selena Garcia, were not registered with the Board.
18	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
20	45. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
21	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
22	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
23	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
25	Respondent Eco Technology)
26	46. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
27	7161(b), in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
28	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
	16
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology) 2 47. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3 7161(c), in that on the Daventry Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently 4 executed or altered documents. 5 **RIVERTON AVENUE PROJECT** 6 48. On or about May 16, 2019, elderly homeowners F.N. and L.N. were solicited by an 7 8 unknown male representative from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence located on 9 Riverton Avenue in Sun Valley, California (Riverton Avenue Project). The representative told F.N. that his current solar water heater is known to leak, and he could replace the system at no 10 11 cost. F.N. agreed to the water heater replacement under the representation that it would be at no cost to him. Respondent Eco Technology and the salesperson failed to provide F.N. a written 12 contract prior to the start of work. 13 Work began on or about May 17, 2019 and ended on or about May 20, 2019. While 14 Respondent Eco Technology was replacing the water heater, the work crew began to remove a 15 16 section of the roof, claiming it had suffered water damage. F.N. questioned if the roof work was going to cost extra and he was assured that it was covered under the same program. The workers 17 proceeded to replace a shower faucet and a sink faucet as well. 18 In October 2019, F.N. discovered a lien on his property from PACE Funding. PACE then 19 provided loan contracts, completion certificates, home improvement contract, DocuSign 20certificates, and recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed a phony email and 21 telephone number, which did not belong to F.N. A recorded telephone call with the voice of an 22 unknown male impersonating F.N. purported to agree to the loan terms and conditions. A second 23 24 telephone call with the voice of an unknown male impersonating F.N. purported to certify the construction project and allow Respondent Eco Technology to obtain payment from the PACE 25loan. 26Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$36,850 on the project by proceeds from the 27

28 Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) loan.

1	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	49. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7116, in that on the Riverton Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
5	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to F.N.
6	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	50. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Riverton Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
10	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
11	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
13	Respondent Eco Technology)
14.	51. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(b), in that on the Riverton Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
16	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
17	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	52. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(c), in that on the Riverton Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
21	executed or altered documents.
22	DAVENTRY STREET PROJECT B
23	53. On or about May 11, 2019, elderly homeowner R.S. was solicited by unregistered
24	salesperson Kelliams Chavistad from Respondent Eco Technology at his residence located on
25	Daventry Street in Pacoima, California (Daventry Street Project B). Kelliams Chavistad told R.S.
26	he could benefit from a new roof and HVAC system and would see savings on his utility bills.
27	Kelliams Chavistad told R.S. that the work would be covered under a government program. R.S.
28	provided Kelliams Chavistad his tax returns. Kelliams Chavistad requested R.S. sign a tablet to
	18
l	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

provide authorization for work to start. Kelliams Chavistad and Respondent Eco Technology
 failed to provide R.S. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about
 May 12, 2019 and ended on or about May 25, 2019.

R.S. subsequently discovered that Respondent Eco Technology obtained a Property
Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) loan to pay for the project and a lien had been placed on the
property. R.S. had no knowledge of the loan and never signed or authorized the funding of the
loan. R.S. discovered the phone number and email address listed on the documents did not
belong to him. PACE Funding provided two telephone recorded conversations which have an
unknown male voice impersonating R.S. providing his personal identification to authorize the

Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$63,000 on the project by PACE Funding. An
industry expert inspected the project and determined that Respondent Eco Technology's work
was substandard with an estimated \$34,878 in costs to correct.

14

15

# **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology)

16 54. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
17 7109(a), in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology willfully departed
18 in a material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction as
19 follows:

a. Respondent Eco Technology failed to install a Cool Roof.

b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to reconnected furnace gas line and abandoned
the through-roof ventilation pipe for existing furnace.

23

20

c. Respondent Eco Technology failed to prime and paint the Facia boards.

24 d. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install connecting joints. Connecting
25 joints do not have sufficient attachment or mending plate to prevent separation.

26 e. Respondent Eco Technology failed to clean out roof debris from tear off phase in attic
27 space.

28

III

1	THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	55. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7116, in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
5	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to R.S.
6	FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Contracting Out of Classification - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	56. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7117.6, in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology contracted for
10	work, which required a B classification while licensed only as a C-36 classification.
11	FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	57. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7154, in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
15	salesperson, Kelliams Chavistad, was not registered with the Board.
16	SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
18	58. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
19	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
20	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
21	SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
23	Respondent Eco Technology)
24	59. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7161(b), in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
26	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
27	///
28	
	20

<sup>(</sup>ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 2 (Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology) 60. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3 7161(c), in that on the Daventry Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently 4 executed or altered documents. 5 HERRICK AVENUE PROJECT 6 7 61. On or about May 10, 2019, elderly homeowners M.F. and J.R. were solicited by unregistered salesperson Kelliams Chavistad from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence 8 9 located on Herrick Avenue in Pacoima, California (Herrick Avenue Project). Kelliams Chavistad told M.F. and J.R. that they could qualify for free water heater replacement through a government 10 program. M.F. and J.R. provided Kelliams Chavistad their tax return and driver's licenses. 11 12 Kelliams Chavistad and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide J.R. with a written contract prior to the start of work. During the work, Kelliams Chavistad returned with unregistered 13 salesperson Selena Garcia from Respondent Eco Technology and convinced J.R. to add a roof 14 replacement since it was covered under the program. J.R. agreed to the additional work. Work 15 16 began on or about May 15, 2019 and ended on or about June 19, 2019. M.F. and J.R. discovered that a Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) loan had been 17 opened and assessed on their property. M.F. and J.R. had no knowledge of the loan and never 18 19 authorized the funding of any loan. J.R. discovered that her signature had been forged on the 20 home improvement contract. M.F. and J.R.'s unauthorized digital signatures were used on the 21 finance documents. The phone number and email address on the contract do not belong to M.F. or J.R. PACE Funding provided recorded telephone conversations that have an unknown male 22 23 voice impersonating M.F. and obtaining access codes needed to sign the assessment contract. The second recorded call consists of an unknown male voice acknowledging the completion of 24 the project and confirming the terms of the loan. 25 Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$39,750 on the project by PACE Funding. An 26 industry expert inspected the project and determined that Respondent Eco Technology's work 27 was substandard with an estimated \$5,258 in costs to correct. 28

1	NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology)
3.	62. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7109(a), in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully departed in
5	a material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction as
6	follows:
7	a. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install the roof membrane over the
8	carport. It was poorly adhered and missing a section located on the northwest corner.
9	b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to reinstall the solar system they removed.
10	c. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly tend to the main distribution power
11	supply. It was left abandoned and created a safety issue for the homeowner.
12	TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	63. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7116, in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
16	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to M.F. and J.R.
17	TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Contracting Out of Classification - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	64. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7117.6, in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology contracted for work,
21	which required a B classification while licensed only as a C-36 classification.
22	TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	65. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7154, in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
26	salespersons, Kelliams Chavistad and Selena Garcia, were not registered with the Board.
27	
28	
	22
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	<b>TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
2	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	66. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
5	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
6	TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
8	Respondent Eco Technology)
9	67. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(b), in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
11	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
12	TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	68. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(c), in that on the Herrick Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
16	executed or altered documents.
17	FUSANO AVENUE PROJECT
18	69. On or about May 8, 2019, homeowners E.S. and A.S. were solicited by two female
19	representatives from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence located at Fusano Avenue in
20	Los Angeles, California (Fusano Avenue Project). The sales representatives told E.S. and A.S.
21	they could qualify for energy efficient home upgrades through a government program at no cost.
22	E.S. provided the representatives his tax returns in order to qualify for the free program. E.S.
23	agreed to have a tankless water heater and a new HVAC unit installed under the impression that
24	the work would be covered under the program. Respondent Eco Technology and the salesperson
25	failed to provide E.S. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about
26	May 13, 2019 and ended on or about May 20, 2019.
27	In October 2019, E.S. received his property tax bill and discovered a Property Assessed
28	Clean Energy (PACE) loan had been assessed to the property taxes. PACE Funding provided a
	23

1	telephone recorded conversations that have an unknown male voice impersonating E.S. and
2	providing a fictitious email address to PACE Funding. The second recorded telephone call
3	consists of an unknown male voice acknowledging the completion of the project and confirming
4	the terms of the loan. E.S. and A.S. never authorized their signature to be used on any financing
5	documents.
6	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$55,000 on the project by PACE Funding. An
7	industry expert inspected the project and determined that Respondent Eco Technology's work
8	was substandard with an estimated \$1,750 in costs to correct.
9	TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology)
11	70. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
12	7109(a), in that on the Fusano Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully departed in
13	a material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction as
14	follows:
15	a. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly sealed fasteners and
16	missing/abandoned fasteners creating holes through the raised sheet metal plenum (platform).
17	Deficiencies allowed water intrusion into the home and caused damage to the interior ceiling.
18	b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide a furnace which they billed for in the
19	amount of \$5,000.
20	TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
22	71. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
23	7116, in that on the Fusano Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
24	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to E.S.
25	
26	
27	
28	///
	24
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	72. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Fusano Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
5	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
6	TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
8	Respondent Eco Technology)
9	73. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(b), in that on the Fusano Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
11	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
12	THIRTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	74. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(c), in that on the Fusano Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
16	executed or altered documents.
17	DEL SUR STREET PROJECT
18	75. On or about April 28, 2019, elderly homeowners A.A. and T.A. were solicited by
19	unregistered salesperson Kelliams Chavistad from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence
20	located at Del Sur Street in Los Angeles, California (Del Sur Street Project). Kelliams Chavistad
21	told A.A. and T.A. that they could qualify for a state funded program for the elderly and have an
22	air conditioning unit installed in her house at no cost. A.A. and T.A. provided Kelliams
23	Chavistad their social security statements and utility bill. Kelliams Chavistad told A.A. and T.A.
24	they qualified for the program. Kelliams Chavistad recommended that A.A. and T.A. have a new
25	furnace, tankless water heater, water efficient shower head, and faucet installed since it was
26	included in the program. A.A. and T.A. agreed to the extra work. Kelliams Chavistad and
27	Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide A.A. and T.A. with a written contract prior to the
28	start of work. Work began on or about May 1, 2019 and ended on or about May 15, 2019.
I	

	· · ·
1	In October 2019, T.A. received their property tax bill and noticed it had significantly
2	increased. T.A. discovered that two Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) loan assessments
3	had been applied on her home for the work performed. T.A. had no knowledge of the loans and
4	never authorized them to be funded. PACE Funding provided loan contracts, completion
5	certificates, home improvement contract, DocuSign certificates, and recorded telephone contacts.
6	The documents revealed a fictitious email and phone number which did not belong to A.A. and
7	T.A. Four telephone calls consist of an unknown male voice impersonating A.A. providing
8	personal information and authorization to PACE Funding. One telephone call consists of a
9	Selena Garcia contacting PACE Funding to send access codes to the email provided and claimed
10	she was with T.A. during the call.
11	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$44,600 on the project by PACE Funding.
12	THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	76. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7116, in that on the Del Sur Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful and/or
16	fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to T.A.
17	THIRTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Contracting Out of Classification - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	77. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7117.6, in that on the Del Sur Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology contracted for work,
21	which required a B classification while licensed only as a C-36 classification.
22	THIRTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	78. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7154, in that on the Del Sur Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
26	salesperson, Kelliams Chavistad, was not registered with the Board.
27	///
28	///
,	26

1	THIRTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	79. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Del Sur Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide
5	a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
6	THIRTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
8	Respondent Eco Technology)
9	80. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(b), in that on the Del Sur Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
11	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
12	THIRTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	81. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(c), in that on the Del Sur Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed
16	or altered documents.
17	CORCORAN STREET PROJECT
18	82. On or about April 27, 2019, elderly homeowner M.F. was solicited by unregistered
19	salesperson Selena Garcia from Respondent Eco Technology and another unknown female at his
20	residence located at Corcoran Street in San Fernando, California (Corcoran Street Project).
21	Selena Garcia told M.F. that he could qualify for a government program to have a tankless water
22	heater installed and new energy efficient windows installed. M.F. provided Selena Garcia his tax
23	returns and photo identification and the representatives took pictures. M.F. was contacted and
24	informed that he qualified for the program and that a new roof would be included along with the
25	water heater and new windows. Selena Garcia and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide
26	M.F. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about April 30, 2019
27	and ended on or about May 8, 2019.
28	

In June 2019, M.F. discovered a PACE assessment was on the title of the home. PACE 1 2 Funding provided loan documents and recorded telephone calls. M.F. discovered the phone 3 number and email address listed on the contract did not belong to him. The telephone calls have an unknown male voice and female voice impersonating M.F. and E.F. in order to obtain access 4 5 codes used to DocuSign loan documents. M.F. was never made aware of this contract and financing. 6 Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$51,000 on the project by PACE Funding. An 7 8 industry expert inspected the project and determined that Respondent Eco Technology's work 9 was substandard with an estimated \$7,358 in costs to correct and complete. **THIRTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 10 (Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology) 11 83. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 12 7109(a), in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully departed in 13 a material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction as 14 follows: 15 16 a. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install the roof without driving nails through ceiling. 17 b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install and integrate asphalt shingle 18 confined rake. At the confined rake, counterflashing and step flashings are inadequately 19 integrated and sealed allowing for water intrusion. 20**THIRTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 21 (Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology) 22 84. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 23 24 7116, in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to M.F. and E.F. 25  $\parallel \parallel$ 26 27 /// 28 ///28

1	THIRTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Contracting Out of Classification - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	85. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7117.6, in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology contracted for work,
5	which required a B classification while licensed only as a C-36.
6	FORTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	86. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7154, in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
10	salesperson, Selena Garcia, was not registered with the Board.
11	FORTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	87. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
15	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
16	FORTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
18	Respondent Eco Technology)
19	88. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(b), in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
21	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
22	FORTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	89. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7161(c), in that on the Corcoran Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
26	executed or altered documents.
27	
28	///
	29
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

# **EUSTACE STREET PROJECT A**

90. 2 On or about March 28, 2019, homeowners J.E. and R.E. were solicited by unregistered salesperson Kelliams Chavistad from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence 3 located at Eustace Street in Pacoima, California (Eustace Street Project A). Kelliams Chavistad 4 told R.E. that a program through the Department of Water and Power could allow for home 5 improvements to be performed at no cost. J.E. provided Kelliams Chavistad with a W2 form and 6 7 driver's license. Kelliams Chavistad took photos of the information and informed J.E. and R.E. that they were approved for new windows and exterior doors. J.E. and R.E. agreed to have 14 8 windows and two exterior doors installed based on the representations that the work would be 9 performed at no cost. Kelliams Chavistad and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide R.E. 10 with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about April 10, 2019 and 11 ended on or about April 11, 2019. 12

In October 2019, R.E. received his property tax bill and noticed that a PACE assessment 13 had been applied to his property taxes. PACE Funding provided the DocuSign audit certificates. 14 loan contracts, home improvement contract, payment designation, completion certificates and 15 16 telephone contacts. The first telephone recording was of an unknown male voice impersonating J.E. during a terms and conditions phone call confirming that the contract was received and 17 signed. The impersonator provided a fictitious email address and a phone number which did not 18 19 belong to J.E. The second recording consisted of an unknown male voice impersonating J.E. during a project completion verification call and to approve the loan to be issued. 20

Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$41,800 on the project by PACE Funding.

22

23

21

1

# FORTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)

24 91. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25 7116, in that on the Eustace Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
26 and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to J.E.

27

 $\parallel \parallel$ 

111

1	FORTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	92. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7154, in that on the Eustace Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
5	salesperson, Kelliams Chavistad, was not registered with the Board.
6	FORTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	93. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Eustace Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
10	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
11	FORTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
13	Respondent Eco Technology)
14	94. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(b), in that on the Eustace Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
16	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
17	FORTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	95. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(c), in that on the Eustace Street Project A, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
21	executed or altered documents.
22	EUSTACE STREET PROJECT B
23	96. On or about January 28, 2019, elderly homeowner B.T. was solicited by unregistered
24	salesperson Selena Garcia from Respondent Eco Technology at her residence located at Eustace
25	Street in Pacoima, California (Eustace Street Project B). Selena Garcia told B.T. that she could
26	qualify for a government program designed for low income homeowners for energy efficient
27	upgrades. B.T. agreed to have six windows installed on her home. Selena Garcia told B.T. the
28	work was free. Selena Garcia and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide B.T. with a
	31
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about February 1, 2019 and ended
2	on or about February 3, 2019.
3	B.T. received her property tax bill and noticed it had increased substantially. B.T.
4	discovered that a Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) assessment had been assessed to her
5	property from a company named Energy Efficient Equity. B.T. was never made aware of the loan
6	and did not authorized her signature on any loan documents. The phone number and email
7	address listed on the loan documents does not belong to B.T. Energy Efficient Equity provided
8	two recorded telephone conversations. One of the recordings was an unknown female voice
9	impersonating B.T.
10	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$13,800 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
11	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	97. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7116, in that on the Eustace Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
15	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to B.T.
16	FIFTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
18	98. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
19	7154, in that on the Eustace Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
20	salesperson, Selena Garcia, was not registered with the Board.
21	FIFTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
23	99. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
24	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Eustace Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
25	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
26	
27	
28	///
	32

1	FIFTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
3	Respondent Eco Technology)
4	100. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
5	7161(b), in that on the Eustace Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
6	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
7	FIFTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
9	101. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(c), in that on the Eustace Street Project B, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
11	executed or altered documents.
12	DAVENTRY STREET PROJECT C
13	102. On or about January 19, 2019, elderly homeowners R.G. and S.G. were solicited by
14	two unknown female representatives from Respondent Eco Technology at their home located on
15	Daventry Street in Pacoima, California (Daventry Street Project C). The representative told R.G.
16	and S.G. that they could qualify for a program through the city that would allow for the
17	installation of energy efficient products such as a tankless water heater and water filtration
18	system. The representatives told S.G. that the water filtration system would help with her
19	diabetes condition. R.G. supplied his tax returns to the representatives and was immediately told
20	they qualified for the program. R.G. inquired numerous times if there was a cost associated with
21	the project and was reassured that he would not have to pay anything. Respondent Eco
22	Technology and the salespersons failed to provide R.G. with a written contract prior to the start of
23	work. Work began on or about January 24, 2019 and ended on or about January 25, 2019.
24	In October 2019, R.G. received his property tax bill and observed it was significantly
25	higher than the previous years. R.G. discovered that a Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE)
26	assessment had been recorded on his property by a company named Energy Efficient Equity. The
27	assessment was for the installation of the water heater and water filter contracted by Respondent
28	Eco Technology. Energy Efficient Equity provided copies of the assessment contract, completion
	33

1	certificate, loan disbursement information, and a recorded telephone call. R.G. and noticed a
2	fictitious email address on the contract which did not belong to R.G. or S.G. A recorded call
2	consists of an unknown male voice and female voice impersonating R.G. and S.G. in the
4	confirmation phone call.
5	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$31,500 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
6	FIFTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	103. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7116, in that on the Daventry Street Project C, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
10	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to R.G. and S.G.
11	FIFTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	104. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Daventry Street Project C, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
15	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
16	FIFTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
18	Respondent Eco Technology)
19	105. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(b), in that on the Daventry Street Project C, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
21	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
22	FIFTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	106. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7161(c), in that on the Daventry Street Project C, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
26	executed or altered documents.
27	///
28	
	34
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

•

1	KAGEL CANYON STREET PROJECT
2.	107. On or about January 16, 2019, homeowner G.G. contacted unregistered salesperson
3	Selena Garcia from Eco Technology, after being referred by a coworker. Selena Garcia
4	represented to G.G. that he could qualify through a county program to receive a tankless water
5	heater at no cost. G.G provided Selena Garcia his tax returns and driver's license to qualify him
6	for the program. Selena Garcia told G.G. that he was approved for a tankless water heater and a
7	water filtration system at his residence located on Kagel Canyon Street in Arleta, California
8	(Kagel Canyon Street Project). Selena Garcia confirmed via text message that there was no cost
9	associated with the improvements. Selena Garcia and Respondent Eco Technology failed to
10	provide G.G. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about January
11	18, 2019 and ended on or about January 18, 2019.
12	In October 2019, G.G. received his property tax bill and noticed a PACE assessment had
13	been placed on his property increasing his property taxes. G.G. obtained a copy of the loan
14	documents from Energy Efficient Equity. The documents revealed a fictitious email and phone
15	number on the loan application which did not belong to G.G. Energy Efficient Equity provided
16	two recorded telephone calls that consist of an unknown male voice impersonating G.G. during a
17	terms and conditions call and a project completion verification phone call.
18	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$31,500 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
19	FIFTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
21	108. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
22	7116, in that on the Kagel Canyon Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
23	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to G.G.
24	FIFTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
26	109. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
27	7154, in that on the Kagel Canyon Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home
28	improvement salesperson, Selena Garcia, was not registered with the Board.
	35
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	SIXTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	110. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Kagel Canyon Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
5	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
6	SIXTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
8	Respondent Eco Technology)
9	111. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(b), in that on the Kagel Canyon Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made
11	substantial misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
12	SIXTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	112. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15 ·	7161(c), in that on the Kagel Canyon Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
16	executed or altered documents.
17	CARL STREET PROJECT
18	113. On or about November 30, 2018, unregistered salespersons Kelliams Chavistad and
19	Luz Serrano, representing Respondent Eco Technology, solicited to homeowner P.C. that he
20	could qualify for a government program that would allow for home improvement upgrades at no
21	cost to him. P.C. was asked to provide his tax returns to apply for the program and P.C. presented
22	his tax returns to the representatives. P.C. was informed that he was approved for the program
23	and that a new water heater could be installed for free at his residence located on Carl Street in
24	Pacoima, California (Carl Street Project). Kelliams Chavistad, Luz Serrano, and Respondent Eco
25	Technology failed to provide P.C. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began
26	on or about December 3, 2018 and ended on or about December 4, 2018.
27	In October 2019, P.C. received his property tax bill and noticed a PACE assessment had
28	been placed on his property increasing his property taxes significantly. PACE Funding provided
	36
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	loan contracts, completion certificates, home improvement contract, DocuSign certificates, and
2	recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed that a fictitious email was listed on the
3	home improvement contract as well as a phone number which did not belong to P.C. The two
4	recorded telephone calls that consist of an unknown male voice impersonating P.C. during a
5	terms and conditions call and a project completion verification phone call with PACE Funding.
6	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$12,000 on the project by PACE Funding.
7	SIXTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
9	114. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7116, in that on the Carl Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful and/or
11	fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to P.C.
12	SIXTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	115. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7154, in that on the Carl Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
16	salespersons, Kelliams Chavistad and Luz Serrano, were not registered with the Board.
17	SIXTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	116. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Carl Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide a
21	signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
22	SIXTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
24	Respondent Eco Technology)
25	117. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
26	7161(b), in that on the Carl Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
27	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
28	111
	37
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

# SIXTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)

118. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section7161(c), in that on the Carl Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed or altered documents.

## **DESMOND STREET PROJECT**

7 119. On or about November 24, 2018, elderly homeowners J.H. and M.H. were solicited 8 by an unknown female representative from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence located 9 on Desmond Street in Pacoima, California (Desmond Street Project). The representative informed J.H. that his existing solar water heater could be faulty. The representative told J.H. that 10 11 he could replace the water heater with a new one through a program at no cost. J.H. was asked to sign a tablet to authorize access to the property and perform work. Respondent Eco Technology 12 and the salesperson failed to provide J.H. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work 13 began on or about November 26, 2018 and ended on the same day. 14

In October 2019, J.H. received his property tax bill and observed it was significantly higher 15 16 than the previous years. J.H. discovered from PACE Funding that a Property Assessed Clean 17 Energy (PACE) assessment had been recorded on the property for the installation of the water heater contracted by Respondent Eco Technology. PACE Funding provided the home 18 19 improvement contract, assessment contract, completion certificate, DocuSign certificates, loan disbursement invoice, and two recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed a fictitious 2021 email and phone number which did not belong to J.H. The first call consists of an unknown male 22 voice and female voice impersonating J.H and M.H. in a terms and conditions phone call. The second call consists of the same unknown male voice impersonating J.H. in a completion · 23 verification phone call confirming the project has been completed and authorizing the funding of 24 the loan. 25

Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$12,000 on the project by PACE Funding.

27 || ///

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

28 || ///

1	SIXTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	120. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7116, in that on the Desmond Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
5	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to J.H and M.H.
6	SIXTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	121. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
.9	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Desmond Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
10	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
11	SEVENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
13	Respondent Eco Technology)
14	122. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(b), in that on the Desmond Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
16	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
17	SEVENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	123. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(c), in that on the Desmond Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
21	executed or altered documents.
22	KAMLOOPS STREET PROJECT
23	124. On or about November 23, 2018, elderly homeowners E.N. and J.N. were solicited by
24	unregistered salesperson Kelliams Chavistad and another female representative from Respondent
25	Eco Technology at their residence located on Kamloops Street in Arleta, California (Kamloops
26	Street Project). E.N. was told that he could qualify for a Los Angeles County program that would
27	pay for a tankless water heater to be installed. E.N. provided Kelliams Chavistad his tax returns.
28	E.N. was told that he qualified for the government program because of his low income. Kelliams
	39
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

Chavistad and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide E.N. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about November 30, 2018 and was completed on or about December 3, 2018.

E.N. received his property tax bill for July 2019 – June 2020 and noticed that it had 4 significantly increased. E.N. discovered that a Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) loan 5 assessment had been applied on his home for the tankless water heater installed. E.N. had no 6 knowledge of the loans and never authorized them to be funded. PACE Funding provided loan 7 8 contracts, completion certificates, home improvement contract, DocuSign certificates, and a 9 recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed a fictitious email and a phone number, which did not belong to E.N. A recorded telephone call included an unknown female voice 10 11 impersonating J.N. and providing authorization to PACE Funding that the project was completed and to fund the loan. 12

Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$12,000 on the project by PACE Funding.

# <u>SEVENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)

16 125. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
17 7116, in that on the Kamloops Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
18 and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to J.N.

19

20

13

14

15

1

2

3

# SEVENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)

126. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7154, in that on the Kamloops Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
salesperson, Kelliams Chavistad, was not registered with the Board.

24

25

# SEVENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)

127. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Kamloops Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.

1	SEVENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
3	Respondent Eco Technology)
4	128. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
5	7161(b), in that on the Kamloops Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
6	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
7	SEVENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
9	129. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(c), in that on the Kamloops Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
11	executed or altered documents.
12	VENA AVENUE PROJECT
13	130. On or about October 24, 2018, homeowner R.C. was solicited by unregistered
14	salesperson Kelliams Chavistad from Respondent Eco Technology at her residence located on
15	Vena Avenue in Arleta, California (Vena Avenue Project). Kelliams Chavistad told R.C. that she
16	worked with the Department of Water and Power and that she could qualify for a new water
17	heater through a state funded program for low income families. R.C. provided Kelliams
18	Chavistad her driver's license and tax returns. Kelliams Chavistad told R.C. she was approved
19	for the program. Kelliams Chavistad and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide R.C.
20	with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about October 27, 2018 and
21	ended on the same day.
22	In 2019, R.C. received her property tax bill and noticed that it had significantly increase.
23	R.C. discovered that a PACE loan was assessed on her property for the installation of the water
24	heater contracted by Respondent Eco Technology. Energy Efficient Equity provided loan
25	contracts, completion certificates, recorded notice of assessment, a photo of the tankless water
26	heater, loan disbursement information, and recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed
27	a fictitious email and a phone number which did not belong to R.C. The first phone call consists
28	

1	of an unknown female voice impersonating R.C. in a terms and conditions. The second phone
2	call consists of an unknown female voice impersonating R.C. in a completion verification.
3	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$15,000 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
4	SEVENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
6	131. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7	7116, in that on the Vena Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful and/or
8	fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to R.C.
9	SEVENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
11	132. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
12	7154, in that on the Vena Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
13	salesperson, Kelliams Chavistad, was not registered with the Board.
14	SEVENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
16	133. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
17	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Vena Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide
18	a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
19	EIGHTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
21	Respondent Eco Technology)
22	134. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
23	7161(b), in that on the Vena Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
24	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
25	
26	
27	/// ///
27 28	

3

4

5

1

# **EIGHTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)

135. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7161(c), in that on the Vena Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed or altered documents.

#### 6

## **RELIANCE STREET PROJECT**

7 136. On or about October 15, 2018, elderly homeowners H.P. and C.P. were solicited by unregistered salesperson Selena Garcia from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence 8 9 located on Reliance Street in Arleta, California (Reliance Street Project). Selena Garcia told H.P. that he may qualify for a low-income program to receive a new water heater, water filter, and an 10 11 HVAC system to be installed at no cost. H.P. and C.P. provided Selena Garcia their social security statements. Selena Garcia and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide H.P. and 12 C.P. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about October 19, 2018 13 and ended on or about October 31, 2018. 14

In October 2019, H.P. received his property tax bill and discovered a PACE assessment was
added on to the bill. Energy Efficient Equity provided copies of the loan documents and recorded
phone contacts during the processing of the loan to verify terms and conditions. The loan
documents revealed forged signatures, false annual income, fictitious email address, and a phone
number which did not belong to H.P. or C.P. The recorded phone calls are of unknown persons
impersonating H.P. and C.P. during the welcome call confirming the financing terms.

- Respondent was paid \$69,003 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
- 22

21

# EIGHTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

23

# (Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)

137. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7116, in that on the Reliance Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to H.P. and C.P.

- 27 || ///
- 28 || ///

ļ	
1	EIGHTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	138. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7154, in that on the Reliance Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
5	salesperson, Selena Garcia, was not registered with the Board.
6	EIGHTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	139. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Reliance Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
10	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
11	EIGHTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
13	Respondent Eco Technology)
14	140. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(b), in that on the Reliance Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
16	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
17	EIGHTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	141. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(c), in that on the Reliance Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed
21	or altered documents.
22	ATOLL AVENUE PROJECT
23	142. On or about August 23, 2018, elderly homeowner A.Z. was solicited by unregistered
24	salespersons Selena Garcia and Marc Burnett from Respondent Eco Technology at her residence
25	located on Atoll Avenue in North Hollywood, California (Atoll Avenue Project). Selena Garcia
26	told A.Z. that she could qualify for a new water filtration system through a government program
27	at no cost. A.Z. informed Selena Garcia that she was on a fixed income from social security.
28	A.Z. provided Selena Garcia her utility bill. Selena Garcia, Marc Burnett, and Respondent Eco
	44
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	Technology failed to provide A.Z. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began
2	on or about August 24, 2018 and ended on or about August 27, 2018.
3	In January 2019, A.Z. discovered that a Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE)
4	assessment had been placed on her property. A.Z. discovered an email address and password was
5	created for her by Selena Garcia or Marc Burnett and written down on the utility bill. The loan
6	application revealed A.Z.'s forged signature and false annual income.
7	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$18,900 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
8	EIGHTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
10	143. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
11	7116, in that on the Atoll Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful and/or
12	fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to A.Z.
13	EIGHTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
15	144. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
16	7154, in that on the Atoll Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
17	salespersons, Selena Garcia and Marc Burnett, were not registered with the Board.
18	EIGHTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
20	145. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
21	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Atoll Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide
22	a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
23	NINETIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
25	Respondent Eco Technology)
26	146. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
27	7161(b), in that on the Atoll Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
28	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
	45
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

3

4

5

1

#### **NINETY-FRIST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)

147. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7161(c), in that on the Atoll Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed
or altered documents.

#### 6

## **HESPERIA AVENUE PROJECT**

148. On or about June 1, 2018, elderly homeowner F.H. was solicited by unregistered 7 salesperson Lee Calvin and an unknown representative from Respondent Eco Technology at his 8 residence located on Hesperia Avenue in Reseda, California (Hesperia Avenue Project). Lee 9 Calvin told F.H. that he could qualify for a government program for a free water heater installed 10 that would save him money on his utility bills as well as a rebate check. F.H. provided Lee 11 12 Calvin his social security number, bank statements, and utility bills in order to qualify for the program. F.H. agreed to have the water heater installed on the representation that the unit would 13 14 not cost him anything. F.H. signed a tablet to authorize the work. Lee Calvin and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide F.H. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work 15 16 began on or about June 1, 2018 and ended on or about June 11, 2018. Lee Calvin also convinced F.H. to install a water filtration system and heat recovery ventilator in his attic, believing it was part 17 of the energy saving program. 18

19 Months later, F.H. received his property tax bill and noticed that it had significantly increased. F.H. believed it was a one-time increase and paid the bill. The following year, F.H. 20 21 received his property taxes and noticed the same increase. F.H. discovered that a PACE loan was assessed to his property for the improvements performed by Respondent Eco Technology. 22 23 Energy Efficient Equity provided loan contracts, completion certificates, and recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed a fictitious email which does not belong to F.H. The 24 25 recordings indicate F.H. was deceived and coached on what to say during the phone calls. Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$42,900 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity. 26

- 27 || ///
- 28 || ///

1	NINETY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	149. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7116, in that on the Hesperia Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
5	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to F.H.
6	NINETY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
- 8	150. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7154, in that on the Hesperia Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
10	salesperson, Lee Calvin, was not registered with the Board.
11	NINETY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	151. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Hesperia Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
15	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
16	NINETY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
18	Respondent Eco Technology)
19	152. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(b), in that on the Hesperia Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
21	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
22	NINETY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	153. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7161(c), in that on the Hesperia Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
26	executed or altered documents.
27	///
28	///
	47
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

# **CORINTH AVENUE PROJECT**

1

2	154. On or about April 12, 2018, elderly homeowners N.K. and I.K. were solicited by
3	unregistered salesperson Rolando Corzo and an unknown individual named Franklin from
4	Respondent Eco Technology at their residence located at Corinth Avenue in Los Angeles,
5	California (Corinth Avenue Project). Rolando Corzo told N.K. and I.K. that they could save
6	money on their utility bills by having a new water heater installed. Rolando Corzo performed a
7	test of N.K.'s water and told them is was in terrible condition. N.K. was provided a savings
8	analysis calculation that showed that they would save \$3,019.20 annually. Rolando Corzo and
9	Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide N.K. and I.K. with a written contract prior to the
10	start of work. Work began on or about April 13, 2018 and ended the same day. N.K. was not
11	aware a water purification system was also installed.
12	On or about October 4, 2018, N.K. received their property tax bill and discovered it was
13	significantly higher. N.K. discovered that a loan had been funded and an assessment had been
14	placed on the property. Energy Efficient Equity provided copies of the loan documents and
15	recorded telephone contacts. The documents revealed I.K.'s signature was placed on N.K.'s
16	name, false annual income, and a fictitious email address which did not belong to them. A
17	recorded telephone call revealed Rolando Corzo assisted N.K. and I.K., who have difficulty
18	understanding and speaking the English language, during the application for the loan.
19	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$31,600 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
20	NINETY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
22	155. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
23	7116, in that on the Corinth Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
24	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to N.K. and I.K.
25	///
26	
27	
28	
	48
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	NINETY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Exercise Direct Supervision - Duty of Qualifier - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	156. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7068.1, in that on the Corinth Avenue Project, Respondent Patrick Wilanowski failed to exercise
5	direct supervision and control over the contracting activities of the license.
6	NINETY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	157. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7154, in that on the Corinth Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
10	salesperson, Rolando Corzo, was not registered with the Board.
11	<b>ONE-HUNDREDTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
12	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	158. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Corinth Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
15	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
16	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
17	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
18	Respondent Eco Technology)
19	159. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(b), in that on the Corinth Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
21	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
22	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
23	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	160. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7161(c), in that on the Corinth Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
26	executed or altered documents.
27	
28	///
	49
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

# SOUTH WILTON PLACE PROJECT

1

161. On or about April 5, 2018, elderly homeowners E.P. and J.F. were solicited by
unregistered salespersons Rolando Corzo, Randy Heyward, Alex Hrytsyuk, and an unknown
individual named Franklin from Respondent Eco Technology at their residence located at South
Wilton Place in Los Angeles, California (South Wilton Place Project). Rolando Corzo told E.P.
that she could qualify for a new tankless water heater through a gas company program and that
she would qualify because of her age and because she is a medical recipient. E.P. and J.F.
provided the representatives their tax returns, social security numbers, and their driver's licenses.
E.P. and J.F. were told they were approved for the program and believed it would not cost
anything. E.P. signed a tablet to approve the start of work. Rolando Corzo, Randy Heyward,
Alex Hrytsyuk, and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide E.P. and J.F. with a written
contract prior to the start of work. Work began on or about April 8, 2018 and ended the same
day.
Months later, E.P. received her property tax bill and discovered a Property Assessed Clean
Energy (PACE) loan had been assessed to her property taxes and funded by Energy Efficient
Equity. The finance documents revealed false annual income. The completion certificate
revealed forged signatures.
Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$12,700 on the project by Energy Efficient Equity.
<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
(Failure to Exercise Direct Supervision - Duty of Qualifier - Respondent Eco Technology)
162. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7068.1, in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Patrick Wilanowski failed to
exercise direct supervision and control over the contracting activities of the license.
ONE-HUNDRED AND FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
163. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7116, in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to E.P. and J.F.
50

1	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
2	(Failed to Secure Workers' Compensation Coverage - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	164. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7125.4(a), in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to secure
5	workers' compensation coverage for its employees.
6	ONE-HUNDRED AND SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	165. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7154, in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home
10	improvement salespersons, Rolando Corzo and Alex Hrytsyuk, were not registered with the
11	Board.
12	ONE-HUNDRED AND SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	166. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
16	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
17	ONE-HUNDRED AND EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
19	Respondent Eco Technology)
20	167. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
21	7161(b), in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
22	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
23	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
24	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
25	168. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
26	7161(c), in that on the South Wilton Place Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
27	executed or altered documents.
28	
	51
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

# **CANTALOUPE AVENUE PROJECT**

1

	CANTADOULE AVENUE I ROJECT
2	169. On or about December 1, 2017, elderly homeowner E.P. was solicited by unregistered
3	salesperson Henry Pham from Respondent Eco Technology at her residence located on
4	Cantaloupe Avenue in Panorama City, California (Cantaloupe Avenue Project). Henry Pham told
5	E.P. that she could qualify for a new tankless water heater and filtration system because of her
6	age, through a government program at no cost. Henry Pham acquired E.P.'s signature through an
7	iPad claiming it was needed authorize work to start. Henry Pham and Respondent Eco
8	Technology failed to provide E.P. with a written contract prior to the start of work. Work began
9	on or about December 1, 2017 and ended on or about December 4, 2017.
10	In February or March 2018, E.P. discovered that a Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE)
11	loan had been assessed to her property taxes and funded by Ygrene. E.P. never authorized her
12	signature to be used on the Ygrene financing documents. The contract revealed a fictitious email
13	address which does not belong to E.P.
14	Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$35,000 on the project by Ygrene. An industry
15	expert inspected the project and determined that Respondent Eco Technology's work was
16	substandard with an estimated \$4,350 in costs to correct.
17	ONE-HUNDRED AND TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Failure to Exercise Direct Supervision - Duty of Qualifier - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	170. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7068.1, in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Patrick Wilanowski failed to
21	exercise direct supervision and control over the contracting activities of the license.
22	ONE-HUNDRED AND ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology)
24	171. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
25	7109(a), in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully
26	departed in a material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike
27	construction as follows:
28	
	52
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

	· · · · ·
1	a. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install copper pipping. Copper
2	pipping with soldered 90-degree joints must be at 90 degrees.
3	b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly secure the pipping to the wall to stop
4	any movement.
5	c. Respondent Eco Technology failed to correctly configure the gas sediment trap
6	located at the water heater.
7	d. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install electrical outlet. It has no
8	ground fault interrupter.
9	e. Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install PEX pipping. It cannot be
10	exposed to sunlight per manufactures requirements and is exposed on water filter install.
11	f. Respondent Eco Technology failed to use straps or bracing on the water filter, UV
12	light.
13	g. Respondent Eco Technology failed to install proper unit. The UV light disinfecting
14	unit is intended for indoor use only.
15	ONE-HUNDRED AND TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
17	172. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
18	7116, in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology committed willful
19	and/or fraudulent acts, which caused a substantial injury to E.P.
20	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
21	(Failed to Secure Workers' Compensation Coverage - Respondent Eco Technology)
22	173. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
23	7125.4(a), in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to secure
24	workers' compensation coverage for its employees.
25	///
26	
27	<i>JII</i>
28	
	53
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
2	(Failed to Provide Signed Contract - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	174. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7159.10(d)(4), in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to
5	provide a signed contract to the buyer prior to the start of work.
6	ONE-HUNDRED AND FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
8	Respondent Eco Technology)
9	175. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7161(b), in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
11	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
12	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
13	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
14	176. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(c), in that on the Cantaloupe Avenue Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently
16	executed or altered documents.
17	ARMIDA STREET PROJECT
18	177. On or about November 18, 2017, disabled and handicapped homeowner R.C. was
19	solicited by unregistered salesperson Lee Bolden and an unknown female representative from
20	Respondent Eco Technology at his residence located on Armida Street in Sun Valley, California
21	(Armida Street Project). The representatives told R.C. they were from the city and could replace
22	his water heater, as the city had funds to assist low income families. The representatives told
23	R.C. that he would have to pay a little bit of money, but not a lot. R.C. gave the representatives
24	his social security number and was told he had qualified for the program. The representatives
25	asked R.C. to sign a tablet, however, R.C. told them he could not sign anything because he is
26	disabled and cannot move his fingers. The representatives told R.C. they would sign his name.
27	Lee Bolden and Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide R.C. with a written contract prior
28	to the start of work. Work began on or about November 20, 2017 and ended the same day.

l

Respondent Eco Technology was paid \$21,000 on the project by Ygrene for the PACE-1 eligible home improvements. An industry expert inspected the project and determined that 2 Respondent Eco Technology's work was substandard with an estimated \$8,645 in costs to correct. 3 **ONE-HUNDRED AND SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 4 5 (Failure to Exercise Direct Supervision - Duty of Qualifier - Respondent Eco Technology) 178. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 6 7 7068.1, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Patrick Wilanowski failed to exercise 8 direct supervision and control over the contracting activities of the license. 9 **ONE-HUNDRED AND EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** (Departure from Accepted Trade Standards - Respondent Eco Technology) 10 179. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 11 7109(a), in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully departed in a 12 13 material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction as follows: Respondent Eco Technology failed to properly install the safety valve. The 14 a. 15 temperature/pressure relief safety valve was taped in the closed position. b. Respondent Eco Technology failed to plumb the discharge line to the outside of the 16 residence. 17 c. Respondent Eco Technology failed to install a thermal expansion tank. 18 d. Respondent Eco Technology failed to install an elbow on the air intake of the tankless 19 water heater. The 3/4 inch galvanized nipple coming out of the wall and providing cold water to 20the tankless water heater is rusted and leaking where it connects to the newer brass elbow. 21 f. Respondent Eco Technology failed to seal the stainless steel flue pipe passing to the 22

rooftop. The O-rings of the water filter appear to be leaking at the brass fittings above the bottom
tank. The water filter unit was installed on the side yard reducing the required access space to the
right side and back of the house.

26 || ///

27 ///

28 ///

**ONE-HUNDRED AND NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 1 (Building Law Violation - No Building Permit - Respondent Eco Technology) 2 180. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3 7110, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to finalize the 4 5 permit on the project as required by building code. **ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 6 7 (Failure to Complete Project for Contract Price Stated - Respondent Eco Technology) 181. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8 9 7113, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed in a material respect to complete the project for the price stated in the contract and the homeowner will be 10 required to secure the services of other contractors to correct the work at a cost of \$8,645, in 11 excess of the contract price. 12 **ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 13 14 (Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology) 182. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 15 7116, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully and/or 16 17 fraudulently persuaded, cajoled, deceived, and misled R.C. into financing the purchase and installation of a tankless water heater and filter system for \$21,000, financed for over 15 years 18 19 amounting to \$37,200.62. **ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 20(Contracting Out of Classification - Respondent Eco Technology) 21 183. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 22 23 7117.6, 7057, and 7059, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 830, 832, and 832.36, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology contracted outside the 24 25 scope of its licensed classification by performing work as a classification C-36, plumbing contractor, when it was only licensed as a classification B, general building contractor. 26 /// 27 28 ///

1	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
2	(Failed to Secure Workers' Compensation Coverage - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	184. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7125.4(a), in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to secure
5	workers' compensation coverage for its employees.
6	ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
8	185. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9	7154, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
10	salesperson, Lee Bolden, was not registered with the Board.
11	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
12	(Violations of Home Improvement Contract Form Requirements -
13	Respondent Eco Technology)
14	186. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7159, in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology violated home
16	improvement contract drafting requirements, as follows:
17	a. Section 7159(c)(4). Respondent Eco Technology failed to include a statement
18	regarding unconditional claim/lien release to be provided for any portion of work for payments
19	made.
20	b. Section 7159(c)(6). Respondent Eco Technology failed to include a statement near
21	the signatures of contractor and owner, stating owner or tenant has the right to require a
22	performance and payment bond.
23	c. Section 7159(d)(1). Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide the name on the
24	license, business address or license number.
25	d. Section 7159(e)(4). Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide the required
26	heading of mechanics lien warning and the required notice.
27	
28	
	57.
1	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	
-	e. Section 7159(e)(5). Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide the required
1	
2	notice, in at least 12 point type face print, within the contract, regarding the Contractors State
3	License Board.
4	ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Excessive Payment - Respondent Eco Technology)
6	187. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
7	7159.5(a)(5), in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology received \$11,960
8	in excess of the value of work performed. An industry expert inspected the work performed by
9	Respondent Eco Technology and estimated the value to be \$9,040, however, Respondent Eco
10	Technology received \$21,000.
11	ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
13	Respondent Eco Technology)
14	188. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
15	7161(b), in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
16	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
17	ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
19	189. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
20	7161(c), in that on the Armida Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed
21	or altered documents.
22	VAUGHN STREET PROJECT
23	190. On or about October 18, 2017, elderly homeowner J.L. was solicited by an unknown
24	representative named Henry from Respondent Eco Technology at his residence located on
25	Vaughn Street in San Fernando, California (Vaughn Street Project). Henry told J.L. that the
26	water he was drinking was unhealthy and urged him install a UV filtration system, a descaler, and
27	a home water filtration system. Henry told J.L. there was a free government program for low-
28	income individuals and that he would only pay an extra \$30 every other month on his water bill.
	58
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	Henry also told J.L. he would receive a \$500 rebate. J.L. provided Henry his property tax bill.
2	Henry told J.L. he qualified for the program and told J.L. to sign his tablet. Respondent Eco
3	Technology and the salesperson failed to provide J.L. with a written contract prior to the start of
4	work. Work began on or about October 21, 2017 and ended the same day.
5	R.L. received his 2018 property tax bill and noticed it was significantly higher. R.L.
6	discovered a PACE loan against the property for the water filtration system. The loan documents
7	revealed forged signatures.
8	Respondent was paid \$18,000 on the project by Ygrene. An industry expert inspected the
9	project and determined that the value of Respondent Eco Technology's work performed was
10	\$16,500 to \$18,000 including labor, materials and services.
11	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
12	(Failure to Exercise Direct Supervision - Duty of Qualifier - Respondent Eco Technology)
13	191. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
14	7068.1, in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Patrick Wilanowski failed to exercise
15	direct supervision and control over the contracting activities of the license.
16	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
17	(Willful and/or Fraudulent Act - Respondent Eco Technology)
18	192. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
19	7116, in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology willfully and/or
20	fraudulently misrepresented and altered documents, which caused a substantial injury to J.L.
21	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
22	(Failed to Secure Workers' Compensation Coverage - Respondent Eco Technology)
23	193. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
24	7125.4(a), in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology failed to secure
25	workers' compensation coverage for its employees.
26	
27	
28	
	59
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Employed Unregistered Salesperson - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	194. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7154, in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology's home improvement
5	salesperson, Henry, was not registered with the Board.
6	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
7	(Violations of Home Improvement Contract Form Requirements -
8	Respondent Eco Technology)
9	195. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
10	7159, in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology violated home
11	improvement contract drafting requirements, as follows:
12	a. Section 7159(c)(4). Respondent Eco Technology failed to include a statement
13	regarding unconditional claim/lien release to be provided for any portion of work for payments
14	made.
15	b. Section 7159(e)(4). Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide the required
16	heading of mechanics lien warning and the required notice.
17	c. Section 7159(e)(5). Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide the required
18	notice, in at least 12 point type face print, within the contract, regarding the Contractors State
19	License Board.
20	d. Section 7159(e)(6)(A). Respondent Eco Technology failed to provide the notice of
21	"Three-Day Right to Cancel."
22	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
23	(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of a Contract -
24	Respondent Eco Technology)
25	196. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
26	7161(b), in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology made substantial
27	misrepresentations in the procurement of a contract for work improvement.
28	
	60
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

l	1
1	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
2	(Fraud in the Execution - Respondent Eco Technology)
3	197. Respondent Eco Technology is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4	7161(c), in that on the Vaughn Street Project, Respondent Eco Technology fraudulently executed
5	or altered documents.
6	<b>ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b>
7	(Cause for Discipline for Qualifier - Respondent Patrick Wilanowski)
8	198. Pursuant to Code section 7122.5, the causes for discipline established as to
9	Respondent Eco Technology constitute cause for discipline against Respondent Patrick
10	Kazimierez Wilanowski, dba Worx Contracting, under license number 999849, regardless of
11	whether Respondent Patrick Wilanowski had knowledge of or participated in the acts or
12	omissions which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent Eco Technology.
13	CAUSES FOR OTHER ACTION
14	FIRST CAUSE FOR OTHER ACTION
15	(Restrictions on Qualifier – Respondent Patrick Wilanowski)
16	199. Pursuant to Code section 7121.5, if license number 1030029, issued to Respondent
17	Eco Technology is revoked or suspended, Respondent Patrick Wilanowski shall be prohibited
18	from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual of a
19	licensee during the time the discipline is imposed, whether or not he had knowledge of or
20	participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for discipline as alleged in the causes
21	for discipline, above, and any licensee which employs, elects, or associates Respondent Patrick
22	Wilanowski other than as a bona fide nonsupervising employee shall be subject to disciplinary
23	action.
24	SECOND CAUSE FOR OTHER ACTION
25	(Restrictions on Qualifier – Respondent Josef Ruiz)
26	200. Pursuant to Code section 7121.5, if license number 1030029, issued to Respondent
27	Eco Technology is revoked or suspended, Respondent Josef Ruiz shall be prohibited from serving
28	as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, or qualifying individual of a licensee during
	61
	(ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION

1	the time the discipline is imposed, whether or not he had knowledge of or participated in the acts
2	or omissions constituting grounds for discipline as alleged in the causes for discipline, above, and
3	any licensee which employs, elects, or associates Respondent Josef Ruiz other than as a bona fide
4	nonsupervising employee shall be subject to disciplinary action.
5	THIRD CAUSE FOR OTHER ACTION
6	(Restrictions on Officer - Respondent Norbertas Sinica)
7	201. Pursuant to Code section 7121, if license number 1030029, issued to Respondent Eco
8	Technology is revoked or suspended, Respondent Norbertas Sinica shall be prohibited from
9	serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual, or member of
10	the personnel of record of a licensee in that, while serving as CEO/President of Respondent Eco
11	Technology, he had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for
12	discipline as alleged in the causes for discipline, above.
13	FOURTH CAUSE FOR OTHER ACTION
14	(Restrictions on Officer - Respondent Marija Popov)
15	202. Pursuant to Code section 7121, if license number 1030029, issued to Respondent Eco
16	Technology is revoked or suspended, Respondent Marija Popov shall be prohibited from serving
17	as an officer, director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual, or member of the
18	personnel of record of a licensee in that, while serving as Officer of Respondent Eco Technology,
19	she had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for discipline
20	as alleged in the causes for discipline, above.
21	PRAYER
22	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
23	and that following the hearing, the Registrar of Contractors issue a decision:
24	1. Ordering the revocation or suspension of Contractor's License Number 1030029
25	issued to Eco Technology Inc., pursuant to Code section 7090;
26	2. Ordering the revocation or suspension of Contractor's License Number 999849 issued
27	to Patrick Kazimierz Wilanowski, dba Worx Contracting, pursuant to Code section 7090;
28	
	62

Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by G.R., F.N., R.S.,
 M.F., E.S., A.A., M.F., J.E., B.T., S.G., G.G., P.C., J.H., E.N., R.C., H.P., A.Z., F.H., N.K., E.P.,
 E.P., R.C., and J.L., as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered for Respondent
 Eco Technology and/or Respondent Patrick Wilanowski, pursuant to Government Code section
 11519(d);

6 4. Ordering Respondent Eco Technology and Respondent Patrick Wilanowski to pay the
7 Registrar costs for the investigation and enforcement of the case according to proof at the hearing,
8 pursuant to Code section 125.3;

9 5. Ordering Respondent Eco Technology and Respondent Patrick Wilanowski to
10 provide the Registrar with a listing of all contracting projects in progress and the anticipated
11 completion date of each, pursuant to Code section 7095;

Ordering that Respondent Patrick Wilanowski is prohibited from serving as an
 officer, director, associate, partner, manager or qualifying individual of a licensee, pursuant to
 Code section 7121.5;

7. Ordering that Respondent Josef Ruiz is prohibited from serving as an officer, director,
associate, partner, manager or qualifying individual of a licensee, pursuant to Code section
7121.5;

8. Ordering that Respondent Norbertas Sinica is prohibited from serving as an officer,
director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual or member of the personnel of record
of a licensee, pursuant to Code section 7121;

9. Ordering that Respondent Marija Popov is prohibited from serving as an officer,
 director, associate, partner, manager, qualifying individual or member of the personnel of record
 of a licensee, pursuant to Code section 7121; and

24 || ///

25 || ///

26 || ///

27 || ///

28 || ///

Taking such other and further action deemed proper. 10. DATED: 10/14/2021 For CHRISTINA DELP Supervising Special Investigator II Contractors State License Board Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant Anith LA2019505698 54003965\_8.docx (ECO TECHNOLOGY INC., ET AL.) ACCUSATION