

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 MARICHELE S. TAHIMIC
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 147392
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-3154
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
Attorneys for Complainant

8
9 **BEFORE THE**
REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS
10 **CONTRACTORS' STATE LICENSE BOARD**
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. N2014-219

13 **VINCENT CONSTRUCTION INC.,**
14 **TRI KHAI NGUYEN, RMO/CEO/PRES**
15 **(disassociated 6/5/2013); HUNG MINH**
TRAN, RMO; VINCENT VIEN NGUYEN,
OFFICER
16 **13942 Cardillo Drive**
Westminster, CA 92683

A C C U S A T I O N

17 **Contractor's License No. 660646, B**

18 Respondent.

19 **T & N CONSTRUCTION CO., TRI KHAI**
20 **NGUYEN, SOLE OWNER**
21 **9851 Bolsa Avenue, #188**
Westminster, CA 92683

22 **Contractor's License No. 916741, B**

23 Affiliated License.

24 **ANTHONY TRAN CONSTRUCTION,**
25 **INC., HUNG MING TRAN,**
RMO/CEO/PRES
26 **9052 Reading Avenue**
Westminster, CA 92683

27 **Contractor's License No. 856874, B**

28 Affiliated License.

1 Complainant alleges:

2 **PARTIES**

3 1. Wood Robinson (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
4 the Enforcement Supervisor I of the Contractors' State License Board, Department of Consumer
5 Affairs.

6 2. On or about December 8, 1992, the Registrar of Contractors issued Contractor's
7 License Number 660646 to Vincent Construction Inc., Tri Kai Nguyen, RMO, and Vincent Vien
8 Nguyen, Officer (Respondent). Tri Kai Nguyen disassociated as the RMO effective June 5, 2013.
9 Effective on June 5, 2013, Hung Minh Tran became the RMO. The Contractor's License was in
10 full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31,
11 2016, unless renewed.

12 **Affiliated License History – T & N Construction Co.**

13 3. On June 3, 2008, the Registrar of Contractors issued Contractor's License Number
14 916741, classification B (General Building Contractor) to Tri Khai Nguyen as Sole Owner of T &
15 N Construction Co. The license expired on June 30, 2014 and has not been renewed.

16 **Affiliated License History – Anthony Tran Construction, Inc.**

17 4. On April 4, 2005, the Registrar of Contractors issued Contractor's License Number
18 856874, classification B (General Building Contractor) to Hung Minh Tran as Sole Owner of
19 Anthony Tran Construction. On June 23, 2011, Contractor's License Number 856874 was re-
20 issued to Anthony Tran Construction, Inc., a corporation, Hung Minh Tran, RMO/CEO/President.
21 The license will expire on June 30, 2015, unless renewed.

22 **JURISDICTION**

23 5. This Accusation is brought before the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) for the
24 Contractors' State License Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the
25 following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise
26 indicated.

27 6. Section 7090 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent
28 part, that the Registrar may suspend or revoke any license or registration if the licensee or

1 registrant is guilty of or commits any one or more of the acts or omissions constituting cause for
2 disciplinary action.

3 7. Section 7095 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar in making his
4 order may:

5 (a) Provide for the immediate complete suspension by the licensee of all operations
6 as a contractor during the period fixed by the decision.

7 (b) Permit the licensee to complete any or all contracts shown by competent
8 evidence taken at the hearing to be then uncompleted.

9 (c) Impose upon the licensee compliance with such specific conditions as may be
10 just in connection with its operations as a contractor disclosed at the hearing, and
11 may further provide that until such conditions are complied with, no application for
12 restoration of the suspended or revoked license shall be accepted by the Registrar.

13 8. Code section 7096 states:

14 For the purposes of this chapter, the term "licensee" shall include an individual,
15 partnership, corporation, limited liability company, joint venture, or any
16 combination or organization licensed under this chapter, and shall also include any
17 named responsible managing officer, responsible managing manager, responsible
18 managing member, or personnel of that licensee whose appearance has qualified
19 the licensee under the provisions of Section 7068.

20 9. Code section 7097 states:

21 Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any license has
22 been suspended by a decision of the registrar pursuant to an accusation or pursuant
23 to subdivision (b) of Section 7071.17, Section 7085.6 or 7090.1, any additional
24 license issued under this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law] in the name
25 of the licensee or for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and
26 appearance under the provisions of Section 7068, may be suspended by the registrar
27 without further notice.

28 10. Code section 7098 states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any license has
been revoked under the provisions of this chapter [the Contractors' State License
Law], any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee or
for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and appearance under the
provisions of Section 7068, may be revoked by the registrar without further notice.

11. Section 7121 of the Code states:

A person who has been denied a license for a reason other than failure to document
sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing
license, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under
suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under
suspension, or who has been a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of
any partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association whose
application for a license has been denied for a reason other than failure to document

1 sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing
2 license, or whose license has been revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or
3 who has failed to renew a license while it was under suspension, and while acting as
4 a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate had knowledge of or participated
5 in any of the prohibited acts for which the license was denied, suspended, or
6 revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner,
7 manager, qualifying individual, or member of the personnel of record of a licensee,
8 and the employment, election, or association of this type of person by a licensee in
9 any capacity other than as a nonsupervising bona fide employee shall constitute
10 grounds for disciplinary action.

11
12 12. Section 7121.5 of the Code states:

13 A person who was the qualifying individual on a revoked license, or of a license
14 under suspension, or of a license that was not renewed while it was under
15 suspension, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate,
16 partner, manager, or qualifying individual of a licensee, whether or not the
17 individual had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited acts or omissions for
18 which the license was revoked, or suspended, and the employment, election, or
19 association of that person by a licensee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary
20 action.

21
22 13. Section 7122 of the Code states:

23 The performance by an individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability
24 company, firm, or association of an act or omission constituting a cause for
25 disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against a
26 licensee other than the individual qualifying on behalf of the individual or entity, if
27 the licensee was a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of that individual,
28 partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association at the time
the act or omission occurred, and had knowledge of or participated in the
prohibited act or omission.

14 Code section 7122.5 states:

15 The performance by any individual, partnership, corporation, firm, or association of
16 any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes
17 a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who at the time such act or
18 omission occurred was the responsible managing employee, qualifying partner,
19 responsible managing officer, or qualifying member of such individual, partnership,
20 corporation, firm, or association, whether or not he had knowledge of or
21 participated in the prohibited act or omission.

22 15. Section 7106.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration,
23 cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of
24 the registrar, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the
25 registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding
26 against the license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
27
28

16. Section 7076.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the inactive status of a license shall not bar any disciplinary action for violating provisions of the Contractors' State License Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 7000, et seq.).

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

17. Section 7068.1 states in part:

(a) The person qualifying on behalf of an individual or firm under paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (4) of subdivision (b) of Section 7068 shall be responsible for exercising that direct supervision and control of his or her employer's or principal's construction operations to secure compliance with this chapter and the rules and regulations of the board. This person shall not act in the capacity of the qualifying person for an additional individual or firm unless one of the following conditions exists:

• • • •

(e) Violation of this section shall constitute a cause for disciplinary action and shall be punishable as a misdemeanor by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed six months, by a fine of not less than three thousand dollars (\$3,000), but not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000), or by both the fine and imprisonment.

18. Section 7107 of the Code states that "[a]bandonment without legal excuse of any construction project or operation engaged in or undertaken by the licensee as a contractor constitutes a cause for disciplinary action."

19. Section 7109 of the Code states:

(a) A willful departure in any material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction constitutes a cause for disciplinary action, unless the departure was in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by or under the direct supervision of an architect.

...

20. Section 7110 of the Code states:

Willful or deliberate disregard and violation of the building laws of the state, or of any political subdivision thereof, or of Section 8505 or 8556 of this code, or of Sections 1689.5 to 1689.8, inclusive, or Sections 1689.10 to 1689.13, inclusive, of the Civil Code, or of the safety laws or labor laws or compensation insurance laws or Unemployment Insurance Code of the state, or violation by any licensee of any provision of the Health and Safety Code or Water Code, relating to the digging, boring, or drilling of water wells, or Article 2 (commencing with Section 4216) of Chapter 3.1 of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code, constitutes a cause for disciplinary action.

21. Section 7113 of the Code states that "[f]ailure in a material respect on the part of a licensee to complete any construction project or operation for the price stated in the contract for such construction project or operation or in any modification of such contract constitutes a cause for disciplinary action."

22. Section 7116 of the Code states that "[t]he doing of any wilful or fraudulent act by the licensee as a contractor in consequence of which another is substantially injured constitutes a cause for disciplinary action."

23. Section 7120 of the Code states:

Willful or deliberate failure by any licensee or agent or officer thereof to pay any moneys, when due for any materials or services rendered in connection with his operations as a contractor, when he has the capacity to pay or when he has received sufficient funds therefor as payment for the particular construction work, project, or operation for which the services or materials were rendered or purchased constitutes a cause for disciplinary action, as does the false denial of any such amount due or the validity of the claim thereof with intent to secure for himself, his employer, or other person, any discount upon such indebtedness or with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud the person to whom such indebtedness is due.

24. Section 105.1 of the California Building Code states in part:

Any owner or authorized agent who intends to construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, demolish, or change the occupancy of a building or structure, or to erect, install, enlarge, alter, repair, remove, convert or replace any required impact-resistant coverings, electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system, the installation of which is regulated by this code, or to cause any such work to be done, shall first make application to the building official and obtain the required permit.

• • •

COST RECOVERY

25. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

///

///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 3
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

2
3
4

5

6
7
8
9

10
11
12
13

14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27
28

1 licensee during the time the discipline is imposed, whether or not he had knowledge or participated
2 in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for discipline, and any licensee which employs, elects,
3 or associates Hung Minh Tran shall be subject to disciplinary action.

4 32. Vincent Vien Nguyen, while serving as an officer of Respondent Vincent
5 Construction, Inc. had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute
6 cause for discipline against said Respondent.

7 33. Pursuant to section 7122 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to license
8 number 660646 issued to Respondent Vincent Construction, Inc. likewise constitutes cause for
9 discipline against Vincent Vien Nguyen in that he had knowledge or participated in the acts
10 constituting grounds for discipline against Respondent Vincent Construction, Inc.

11 **FACTS**

12 34. T.N., a physician, sought to obtain a larger facility for his medical practice. T.N.
13 received bids from other contractors but selected T.C., whose bid was \$75,000.00 less than other
14 bidders.

15 35. On the day the contract was to be signed, T.C. brought Respondent Vincent Nguyen
16 (hereinafter "Vincent") and a contract with Respondent's name to see T.N. T.C. requested that all
17 documents and payments were to be made to Respondent. T.C. stated that Vincent was his
18 partner and assured T.N. that both he and Vincent would be overseeing the project. On June 14,
19 2012, T.N. executed a contract with Respondent for the construction of a 6,500 square foot
20 medical office facility on Brookhurst Street in Fountain Valley, California. The contract price was
21 \$760,490.00.

22 36. Respondent's scope of work included, but was not limited to, demolition of the
23 existing structure, grading and foundation, plumbing, electrical, framing, stucco, drywall, heating
24 and air conditioning, roofing, windows, doors, bathrooms, and flooring. Demolition started on
25 June 15, 2012, before a permit had been issued. T.N. paid a deposit of \$76,049.00 on June 18,
26 2012.

27 37. Respondent advised T.N. that construction would begin after permits were issued.
28 Permits were issued on July 11, 2012. Respondent advised T.N. that 150 days after the foundation

1 passed inspection, construction would be completed and ready for final inspection. As such, all of
2 the work was to be completed by March 15, 2013.

3 38. By October, 2012, demolition had been performed but construction had yet to begin.
4 By December, 2012, T.N. began to question that the project would be completed on time. T.N.
5 visited the job site on a number of occasions to find only two or three workers each day.
6 Respondent assured T.N. that the project would be completed on time.

7 39. On January 8, 2013, Respondent requested \$37,500.00 to construct the fire valve
8 system from the street to the exterior wall of the building. This brought the contract price to a
9 total of \$793,824.00. Respondent requested a 50 percent deposit to start this work. T.N. paid
10 \$18,750.00, however this work was never performed.

11 40. In March, 2013, the project did not pass the city's first inspection of the rough
12 framing. The rough framing passed inspection in April, subject to additional corrections. The City
13 of Fountain Valley Building and Safety department issued six correction notices related to poor
14 workmanship at the project. These correction notices were issued on:

- 15 a. September 4, 2012 regarding plumbing for underground drainage;
- 16 b. March 6, 2013 regarding electrical and floor drains;
- 17 c. March 6, 2013 regarding re-supporting all plumbing, roof drains, electrical, etc.;
- 18 d. April 5, 2013 regarding exterior, plumbing, sinks, rebar, mechanical, etc.;
- 19 e. April 29, 2013 regarding rebar, gas sizing, plumbing, roofing, etc.;
- 20 f. June 13, 2013 regarding lath and roofing; and,
- 21 g. June 17, 2013 regarding insulation and lath.

22 41. When asked why the project did not pass inspections, Respondent made excuses and
23 blamed the inspectors. Later, T.N. learned that the correction notices were issued for items that
24 had not been done correctly.

25 42. By May, 2013, there was still no roof on the building. When T.N. called Respondent,
26 Vincent threatened to stop all work and stated T.N. may not get a roof installed at all unless he
27 paid an additional \$69,025.00. T.N. agreed to pay the additional \$69,025.00 for alleged "extra
28

work” although T.N. had not approved any extras because Vincent stated that if T.N. paid the money, Respondent would complete the project on August 1, 2013.

43. The project was not completed on August 1, 2013. On this date, Vincent demanded an additional \$280,000.00 to finish the project. T.N. did not agree to pay the additional \$280,000.00. T.N. requested that Respondent complete the project. No work was performed in August, 2013. T.N. received an invoice from Respondent for “extra work” in the amount of \$18,200.00, which included work to remove and replace foam moldings that Respondent installed in place of precast concrete moldings specified in the contract.

44. Since Respondent did not perform any work on the property in August, 2013 and had not completed the project, T.N. began obtaining bids from other contractors to finish the work. After Vincent became aware of this, he changed the lock on the fence surrounding the subject property without T.N.’s knowledge or permission. When Vincent refused to remove the lock or provide T.N. with a key, T.N. contacted the police to assist him in removing the lock.

45. On August 12, 2013 and August 18, 2013, T.N. requested Respondent complete the project. Respondent did not respond. By this time, T.N. had paid Respondent \$658,824.00 of the contract price, which was approximately 87 percent of the contract price, however Respondent completed only 68 percent of the project.

46. Respondent abandoned the project without installing all interior doors, exterior doors, T-bar ceilings, cabinets and countertops, door frame headers, tile, sinks and faucets, electrical, wood and rubber baseboards, landscaping, exterior trash enclosure, sewer, gas and water connections, industrial seal on the parking lot, striping on parking lot, bathrooms, and failed to repaint exterior walls and interior ceilings, among other things.

47. On August 31, 2013, T.N. hired a professional inspector to inspect the condition of the property and learned of workmanship issues regarding Respondent’s work. On or about September 4, 2013, T.N. hired another contractor to complete the work left unfinished by Respondent and to repair the incorrect work Respondent performed. T.N. paid the correcting contractor \$391,000.00. Respondents’ conduct resulted in financial injury to T.N. of \$387,241.18.

48. Despite receiving payment from T.N., Respondent failed to pay its subcontractors and material suppliers, which resulted in liens being filed by Christian Building Materials (“Christian”) and Rainbow Environmental (“Rainbow”) against the property. T.N. was required to pay Christian \$18,307.85 and Rainbow \$2,048.44 above the contract price in order to remove mechanic’s liens filed by Christian and Rainbow.

49. In order to begin construction, T.N. and his wife, A.N., obtained a loan by refinancing their residence. After construction started, A.N. tried to obtain a commercial construction loan to replace the loan taken against their residence. However, the lender required the completion of certain stages of construction and since, construction had not been completed, the loan could not be funded at that time. As a result of Respondent's delay in construction, interest rates for a commercial loan increased a full percentage point.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Abandonment)

50. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 7107, subdivision (b) in that Respondent abandoned the T.N. project without legal excuse at a time when work remained to be completed, including but not limited to the following, and as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference: interior doors; exterior doors; T-bar ceilings; cabinets and countertops; door frame headers; tile; sinks and faucets; electrical; air conditioning; fire sprinklers; wood and rubber baseboards; landscaping; exterior trash enclosure; sewer; gas and water connections; industrial seal on the parking lot; striping on parking lot; bathrooms; concrete curbing; drywall ceiling; and, failed to repaint interior walls.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Trade Standards)

51. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 7109, subdivision (a) in that Respondent willfully departed in a material respect from accepted trade standards as follows, and as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference, requiring corrective work to be performed:

a. Framing installed crooked and not to industry standards;

- b. Drywall installed unevenly and not to industry standards;
- c. T-bar ceiling not installed at the correct height per industry standards;
- d. Pocket doors had to be removed and reframed per industry standards;
- e. Tiles installed crooked and unevenly and not to industry standards;
- f. HVAC not installed per industry standards.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Building Laws of State)

52. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 7110, in conjunction with California Building Code section 105.1, violated the building laws of the State of California by failing to obtain a permit before commencing work and by failing to correct Respondent's work as detailed in the correction notices dated September 4, 2012, March 6, 2013 (two), April 5, 2013, April 29, 2013, June 13, 2013 and June 17, 2013, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete Project for Contract Price)

53. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 7113 for failure in a material respect to complete the T.N. project for the price stated in the contract, requiring T.N. pay monies in excess of the contract price as follows:

a. T.N. was required to secure the services of other contractors to complete and correct Respondent's work at a cost of \$387,241.18 in excess of the contract price, resulting in financial injury in the amount of \$387,241.18, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference.

b. T.N. was obligated in the amount of \$18,307.85 in excess of the contract price to remove a mechanic's lien filed by Christian Building Materials, Inc., as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference.

c. T.N. was obligated in the amount of \$2,048.44 in excess of the contract price to remove a mechanic's lien filed by Rainbow Environmental Services, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference.

1 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Willful or Fraudulent Act)**

3 54. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 7116 in that on
4 January 8, 2013, Respondent willfully or fraudulently submitted a change order to T.N. for
5 \$37,500.00 to install a fire valve system. On January 10, 2013, T.N. paid Respondent \$18,750.00.
6 In September, 2013, Respondent abandoned the project without installing the fire valve system or
7 returning the money received, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated
8 by this reference.

9 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Failure to Pay for Materials When Due)**

11 55. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 7120 in that
12 Respondent willfully or deliberately failed to pay Christian Building Materials, Inc. and Rainbow
13 Environmental Services when due for materials or services rendered in connection with the T.N.
14 project when Respondent had the capacity to pay for such materials and services when due, as
15 more fully set forth in paragraphs 33-48 above and incorporated by this reference.

16 **PRAYER**

17 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
18 and that following the hearing, the Registrar of Contractors issue a decision:

19 1. Revoking or suspending Contractor's License Number 660646 issued to Vincent
20 Construction Inc., Tri Khai Nguyen RMO/CEO/President (disassociated 6/5/2013), Hung Minh
21 Tran, RMO, and Vincent Vien Nguyen, Officer;

22 2. Prohibiting Tri Khai Nguyen from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, or
23 qualifying individual of any licensee during the period that discipline is imposed on license Number
24 660646, issued to Vincent Construction Inc., Tri Khai Nguyen RMO/CEO/President
25 (disassociated 6/5/2013), Hung Minh Tran, RMO, Vincent Vien Nguyen, Officer;

26 3. Prohibiting Hung Minh Tran from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, or
27 qualifying individual of any licensee during the period that discipline is imposed on license Number
28

660646, issued to Vincent Construction Inc., Tri Khai Nguyen RMO/CEO/President
(disassociated 6/5/2013), Hung Minh Tran, RMO, Vincent Vien Nguyen, Officer;

4. Prohibiting Vincent Vien Nguyen from serving as an officer, director, associate,
partner, or qualifying individual of any licensee during the period that discipline is imposed on
license Number 660646, issued to Vincent Construction Inc., Tri Khai Nguyen
RMO/CEO/President (disassociated 6/5/2013), Hung Minh Tran, RMO, Vincent Vien Nguyen,
Officer;

5. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Tri Khai Nguyen is furnishing the
qualifying experience or appearance;

6. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Hung Minh Tran is furnishing the
qualifying experience or appearance;

7. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Vincent Vien Nugyen is furnishing
the qualifying experience or appearance;

8. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by T.N. as a condition
of probation in the event probation is ordered;

9. Ordering restitution of all damages suffered by T.N. as a result of Vincent
Construction, Inc.'s conduct as a contractor, as a condition of restoration of license Number
660646, issued to Vincent Construction Inc., Hung Minh Tran, RMO, Vincent Vien Nguyen,
Officer;

10. Ordering Vincent Construction Inc., Tri Khai Nguyen, RMO/CEO/President, Hung
Minh Tran, RMO, Vincent Vien Nguyen, Officer, to pay the Registrar of Contractors his costs in
the investigation and enforcement of the case according to proof at the hearing, pursuant to
Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

11. Ordering Vincent Construction Inc., Hung Minh Tran, RMO, Vincent Vien Nguyen,
Officer, to provide the Registrar with a listing of all contracting projects in progress and the
anticipated completion date of each; and,

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

12. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:

July 5, 2015



WOOD ROBINSON
Enforcement Supervisor I
Contractors' State License Board
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2014708198
71000240.doc

FILED
FEB 05 2015
CSLB DSS