1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS	
	Senior Assistant Attorney General	
3	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS	
10	CONTRACTORS' STATE LICENSE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11]
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. N2013-126
13	GOLD COAST ENTERPRISES 220 Newport Center Drive, #11-630	
14	Newport Beach, CA 92660	ACCUSATION
15	DANIEL QUINN MEHLOS, RMO	
16	[disassociated 6/29/11] TRACY BRENT TROY, RMO/CEO/Pres.	at the second se
17	[effective 6/29/11] CYNTHIA MARY TROY, Officer	
18	Contractor's License No. 856231, B	
19	5	
20	Respondent.	
21	Affiliated Licenses:	
22	D Q M DEVELOPMENT	
23	P. O. Box 4324 Big Bear Lake, CA 92315	
24	DANIEL QUINN MEHLOS, Sole Owner	
25	Contractor's License No. 965492, B	
26		3
27		
28	*	
- 1		

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Registrar of Contractors (Registrar) for the Contractors' State License Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Under Code section 7076.1, the Registrar may reinstate a cancelled license if the licensee pays all of the fees and meets all of the qualifications and requirements for obtaining an original license.
- 7. Section 7090 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar may suspend or revoke any license or registration if the licensee or registrant is guilty of or commits any one or more of the acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action.
- 8. Section 7095 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Registrar in making his order may:
 - (a) Provide for the immediate complete suspension by the licensee of all operations as a contractor during the period fixed by the decision.
 - (b) Permit the licensee to complete any or all contracts shown by competent evidence taken at the hearing to be then uncompleted.
 - (c) Impose upon the licensee compliance with such specific conditions as may be just in connection with its operations as a contractor disclosed at the hearing, and may further provide that until such conditions are complied with, no application for restoration of the suspended or revoked licensee shall be accepted by the Registrar.
 - 9. Section 7097 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any license has been suspended by a decision of the registrar pursuant to an accusation or pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 7071.17, Section 7085.6 or 7090.1, any additional license issued under this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law] in the name of the licensee or for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and appearance under the provisions of Section 7068, may be suspended by the registrar without further notice.

10. Section 7098 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7121 and 7122, when any license has been revoked under the provisions of this chapter [the Contractors' State License Law], any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee or for which the licensee furnished qualifying experience and appearance under the provisions of Section 7068, may be revoked by the registrar without further notice.

11. Section 7106.5 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the registrar, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the registrar of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

12. Code section 7121 states:

Any person who has been denied a license for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, or associate of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied for a reason other than failure to document sufficient satisfactory experience for a supplemental classification for an existing license, or whose license has been revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew a license while it was under suspension, and while acting as a member, officer, director, or associate had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license was denied, suspended, or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, or qualifying individual of a licensee, and the employment, election, or association of this type of person by a licensee in any capacity other than as a non-supervising bona fide employee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.

13. Code section 7121.5 states:

Any person who was the qualifying individual on a revoked license, or of a license under suspension, or of a license that was not renewed while it was under suspension, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, or qualifying individual of a licensee, whether or not the individual had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited acts or omissions for which the license was revoked, or suspended, and the employment, election, or association of such person by a licensee shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.

///

| | //.

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

14. Code section 7122.5 states:

The performance by any individual, partnership, corporation, firm, or association of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who at the time such act or omission occurred was the responsible managing employee, qualifying partner, responsible managing officer, or qualifying member of such individual, partnership, corporation, firm, or association, whether or not he had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act or omission."

15. Code section 7122 states:

The performance by an individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association of an act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against a licensee other than the individual qualifying on behalf of the individual or entity, if the licensee was a partner, officer, director, manager, or associate of that individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, firm, or association at the time the act or omission occurred, and had knowledge of or participated in the prohibited act or omission.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 16. Section 7107 of the Code states that "[a]bandonment without legal excuse of any construction project or operation engaged in or undertaken by the licensee as a contractor constitutes a cause for disciplinary action."
 - 17. Section 7109 of the Code states:
 - (a) A willful departure in any material respect from accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction constitutes a cause for disciplinary action, unless the departure was in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by or under the direct supervision of an architect.
- 18. Section 7113 of the Code states that "[f]ailure in a material respect on the part of a licensee to complete any construction project or operation for the price stated in the contract for such construction project or operation or in any modification of such contract constitutes a cause for disciplinary action."

19. Section 7125.4, subdivision (a), states:

- 23. On or about October 30, 2007, Lynda and Bryan Watanabe (the Watanabes) entered into a written contract with Tracy Bent Troy (T. Troy), Officer of Gold Coast Enterprises (Respondent) to furnish labor and material to construct a custom built home for \$2,016,524.83. Respondent was to receive \$183,000 and the subcontractors hired by Respondent were to be paid directly by the Watanabes. The payment schedule for the \$183,000 was to be in 10 payments of \$18,300 as follows: When slab poured, sub floor sheeted, roof sheeted, house framed, house wrapped, drywall complete, exterior doors/crown molding, painting interior, flooring (hard surfaces) and final inspection. The funding for the project was through Chase Bank. Chase Bank required that the contractor provide Chase with proof of general liability insurance policy.

 T. Troy signed the Watanabe contract with the condition stated on the contract that Respondent would carry general liability insurance for the project.
- 24. Work began on or about December 1, 2007 and was last performed on or about March 5, 2009. Watanabe paid Respondent all but the last progress payment. Watanabe withheld the last payment of \$18,300 because in late 2008, Watanabe noticed excessive cracking in the stucco once it was completed. T. Troy claimed that the cracking in the stucco was within industry standards and told Watanabe that as long as he could not fit a quarter in the crack, that it was acceptable. Watanabe asked T. Troy for proof of liability insurance and T. Troy became angry and abandoned the project when the stucco needed to be repaired and the punch list items needed to be repaired or completed. Watanabe told T. Troy that he would receive the final payment once the stucco was repaired and the punch list items completed and T. Troy pulled his sign off the project and told Watanabe that he was going to sue the Watanabes to get the final payment. It was later discovered that T. Troy misrepresented to the Watanabes that Respondent carried general liability insurance for the project when in fact he did not. Respondent placed a lien on the Watanabe home in the amount of \$80,000 when the Watanabes only owed \$18,300.

25. The Watanabes obtained expert opinions and had destructive testing performed and
learned that the stucco was not mixed properly. The experts collectively opined that there was too
much sand and not enough cement in the stucco. A structural engineer hired by the Watanabes
opined that the exterior stucco needed to be removed and replaced. The Watanabes paid
approximately \$60,000 in destructive testing.

26. On or about April 27, 2013, a Board industry expert inspected the Watanabe project and found that the work did not meet industry standards for good and workmanlike construction and estimated that it would cost the Watanabes \$37,000 to repair and complete the project.

The Watanabes hired a correcting contractor to repair the cracks in the stucco and to complete the punch list items. The financial injury was set at \$40,000. On or about January 12, 2012, Respondents Tracy Bent Troy and Cynthia Troy filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy and listed the Watanabes as creditors.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Abandonment)

- 27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 7107 of the Code, in that on or about March 5, 2009, Respondent abandoned the Watanabe project without legal excuse, when the following work remained to be completed:
 - a. Failed to re-tape, mud, sand, and paint gypsum board in guest bedroom.
 - b. Failed to repair dent in window screen in guest bed room.
 - c. Failed to grout, seal, and caulk shower tile in guest bedroom.
 - d. Failed to caulk under the granite shower seat in guest bathroom.
 - e. Failed to repair whirl pool tub in guest bathroom.
 - f. Failed to repair tub valve in guest bathroom.
 - g. Failed to repair access door to tub in guest bathroom.
 - h. Failed to repair leak under sink in guest bathroom.
- Failed to paint scuff marks on ceiling crown molding and window facing in guest bathroom.
 - j. Failed to touch up paint on walls in guest bathroom.

study.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Breach of Contract)

29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 7113 of the Code, in that Respondent failed in a material respect to complete the Watanabe project for the contract price and the homeowner was required to spend a substantial amount in excess of the contract price to complete the project in accordance with the contract.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantial Misrepresentation in the Procurement of Contract)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 7161(b) of the Code, in that on the Watanabe project, Respondent made a substantial misrepresentation in the procurement of the contract when he signed the contract which included a condition that Respondent carry general liability insurance for the project and Respondent failed to carry such insurance policy.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Filed False Worker's Compensation Certificate)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 7125.4 of the Code in that Respondent filed an exemption certificate with the Registrar certifying that he had no employees subject to the worker's compensation laws. However, on the Watanabe project, Respondent had employees working on the job who were subject to coverage under the workers' compensation laws.

OTHER MATTERS

- 32. Pursuant to sections 7097 and 7098 of the Code, if license number 856231 issued to Respondent is suspended or revoked, the Registrar may suspend or revoke, without notice, any other license issued in the name of Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy, or Cynthia Mary Troy, or for which Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy, or Cynthia Mary Troy furnished the qualifying experience and appearance.
- 33. Pursuant to section 7121 of the Code, if discipline is imposed on license number 856231 issued to Respondent, Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy and/or Cynthia Mary Troy shall be prohibited from serving as an officers, directors, associates, partners, managers, or

qualifying individuals, or members of the personnel of record of a licensee of any licensee during the time the discipline is imposed, and any licensee which employs, elects, or associates Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy or Cynthia Mary Troy shall be subject to disciplinary action.

- 34. Pursuant to Section 7121.5 of the Code, if discipline is imposed on license number 856231 issued to Respondent, Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy and Cynthia Mary Troy shall be prohibited from serving as officers, directors, associates, partners, managers, or qualifying individuals of any licensee during the time the discipline is imposed, whether or not they had knowledge or participated in the acts or omissions constituting grounds for discipline, and any licensee which employs, elects, or associates Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy or Cynthia Troy shall be subject to disciplinary action.
- 35. Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy and Cynthia Mary Troy, while serving as RMO, Officer and Officer, respectively, of Respondent company, had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions which constitute cause for discipline against said Respondent.
- 36. Pursuant to section 7122 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent Gold Coast Enterprises likewise constitutes cause for discipline against individual license number 965492 issued to D Q M Development with Daniel Quinn Mehlos as Sole Owner, in that he had knowledge or participated in the acts constituting grounds for discipline against Respondent Gold Coast Enterprises.
- 37. Pursuant to section 7122 of the Code, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent Gold Coast Enterprises likewise constitutes cause for discipline against individual license number 965162 issued to C D M Development Inc, with Tracy Brent Troy as RMO, Cynthia Mary Troy as Officer, and Daniel Quinn Mehlos as Officer, in that they had knowledge or participated in the acts constituting grounds for discipline against Respondent Gold Coast Enterprises.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Registrar of Contractors issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Contractor's License Number 856231 issued to Gold Coast Enterprises;
- 2. Prohibiting Daniel Quinn Melos, Tracy Brent Troy, and Cynthia Mary Troy from serving as an officers, directors, associates, partners, or qualifying individuals of any licensee during the period that discipline is imposed on license Number 856231, issued to Gold Coast Enterprises;
- 3. Revoking or suspending any other license for which Daniel Quinn Mehlos, Tracy Brent Troy and/or Cynthia Mary Troy are furnishing the qualifying experience or appearance;
- 4. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by Lynda and Bryan Watanabe as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered;
- 5. Ordering restitution of all damages suffered by Lynda and Bryan Watanabe as a result of Daniel Quinn Mehlos', Tracy Brent Troy's, and Cynthia Mary Troy's conduct as contractors, as a condition of restoration of license Number 856231, issued to Gold Coast Enterprises;
- 6. Ordering Gold Coast Enterprises to pay the Registrar of Contractors his costs in the investigation and enforcement of the case according to proof at the hearing, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
- 7. Ordering Gold Coast Entreprises to provide the Registrar with a listing of all contracting projects in progress and the anticipated completion date of each;
 - 8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: Daoubour, 2013

WOOD ROBINSON

Enforcement Supervisor I Contractors' State License Board

w

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California *Complainant*

SD2013705819 70785866.doc

28

24

25

26